



Life Litigation Report

July 7, 2026

Federal Circuit

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Minority Veterans of America v. Secretary of Veterans Affairs*** (Fed. Cir. No. 26-1861) – Challenge to Veterans Affairs’ final rule that reinstated funding restrictions on abortion and abortion counseling. Petition for review filed May 21, 2026.

Alabama

No cases reported.

Alaska

State Court

- ***Alaska v. Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawaii, Alabama, Indiana, Kentucky*** (Alaska No. S-19277) – Chemical abortion (physician-only rule) case. The trial court entered final judgment for Planned Parenthood Sept. 25, 2024. The state appealed to the Alaska Supreme Court. The appeal is briefed, and the court heard oral argument on Oct. 29, 2025. Awaiting a decision.
- ***Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawaii, Alaska, Indiana, and Kentucky v. Alaska*** (Alaska Super. Ct. No. ___) – Chemical abortion (in-person dispensing) case. Complaint filed June 11, 2026.

Arizona

State Court

- ***Gill v. Arizona*** (Ariz. Super. Ct. No. CV2026-005011) – Physician-only rule case alleging infringement upon the state constitutional amendment protecting abortion. Complaint filed Feb. 4, 2026.
- ***Isaacson v. Arizona*** (Ariz. Ct. App. No. 1 CA-CV 26-0240) – Prenatal nondiscrimination (sex, race, and disability), informed consent (reflection period), and chemical abortion (in-person dispensing) case alleging violations of the state constitutional right to abortion. Complaint filed May 22, 2025. Trial court ruled for the plaintiffs Feb. 2, 2026. Intervenor-defendants appealed. The appeal is in briefing.

Arkansas

State Court

- ***Waldorf v. Arkansas*** (Ark. Cir. Ct. No. 60cv-26-1539) – Challenge to the abortion ban law alleging unconstitutional vagueness, infringement upon right to life, liberty, and happiness, and violation of right to equality. Complaint filed Feb. 2, 2026.

California

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Culture of Life Family Services, Inc. v. Bonta*** (9th Cir. No. 25-3828) – Pro-life health clinic’s case to protect access to abortion pill reversal treatments. Amended complaint filed Nov. 15, 2024. State filed a motion to dismiss or alternatively to stay the plaintiff’s amended complaint Dec. 10, 2024. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction June 13, 2025. District court denied in part (abstention, and free speech claim), and granted in part (standing for damages, free exercise claim, right to receive information claim, substantive due process claim) the motion to dismiss June 13, 2025. The plaintiff appealed the orders on the motion for a preliminary injunction and motion to dismiss. The Ninth Court has heard oral argument over the preliminary injunction appeal Oct. 9, 2025.
- ***Lopez v. City of San Diego*** (9th Cir. No. 25-2162) – Sidewalk counselors’ case challenging a bubble zone ordinance. Complaint filed Sept. 5, 2024. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed Nov. 13, 2024. City of San Diego filed a motion to dismiss Nov. 13, 2024. District court granted the city’s motion to dismiss Mar. 21, 2025, and denied as moot the plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 21, 2025. The plaintiff appealed. The case is briefed. 9th Circuit is considering holding an oral argument in Oct. 2026 and will set the date approximately 10 weeks beforehand.
- ***National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Bonta*** (9th Cir. No. 25-2287) – Pro-life case to protect access to abortion pill reversal treatments. District court denied the plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 6, 2025. The appeal is briefed, and the 9th Circuit heard oral argument on Oct. 9, 2025.
- ***United Spinal Association v. State of California*** (9th Cir. No. 24-2751) – Assisted suicide case challenging California’s End of Life Option Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint filed Apr. 25, 2023. District court granted the defendants’ motions to dismiss and denied Compassion and Choices’ motion to intervene Mar. 27, 2024. United Spinal Association appealed. Case is briefed, with oral argument held Mar. 26, 2025. Submission in the cases is vacated pending a final en banc decision and mandate in *Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans v. Mayes* (9th Cir. No. 16490) [not listed in this report since it concerns election law].
- ***United Spinal Association v. Compassion & Choices Action Network*** (9th Cir. No. 24-2755) – Appeal of the denial of Compassion and Choices’ motion to

intervene in the assisted suicide case challenging California's End of Life Option Act. Case is briefed, with oral argument held for Mar. 26, 2025. Submission in the cases is vacated pending a final en banc decision and mandate in *Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans v. Mayes* (9th Cir. No. 16490) [not listed in this report since it concerns election law].

Federal District Court

- ***Blythe v. City of San Diego*** (S.D. Cal. No. 3:24-cv-2211) – Sidewalk counselors' case challenging a bubble zone ordinance. Amended complaint filed Jan. 27, 2025. District court granted the city's motion to dismiss in part without leave to amend (facial overbreadth and vagueness claims) and with leave to amend (facial free speech claim), and denied in part (as-applied free speech claim) the motion June 2, 2025. The plaintiff and defendant filed cross-motions for summary judgment June 3, 2026.
- ***California v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (N.D. Cal. 9th Cir. No. 4:17-cv-5772) – Conscience rights case regarding the Affordable Care Act's contraception mandate. District court lifted the stay because HHS withdrew the proposed rulemaking Jan. 8, 2025. District court granted Colorado, Michigan, and Nevada's motion to intervene Mar. 31, 2025. Parties filed supplemental briefing regarding *Pennsylvania v. Trump* (3d Cir. No. 25-2575) [listed under Pennsylvania in this report] in the district court.

State Court

- ***Harrison v. Dignity Health*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. CGC-25-629618) – Lawsuit alleging a Catholic hospital denied an emergency abortion to a pregnant woman with previable preterm premature rupture of the membranes (pPPROM). Second amended complaint filed Apr. 6, 2025. Defendants' demurrer filed May 8, 2026.
- ***Nusslock v. St. Joseph Health of Northern California, LLC*** (Cal. Sup. Ct. No. CV2500674) – Pro-abortion lawsuit seeking to require a Catholic hospital to perform emergency abortions. Complaint filed Apr. 1, 2025. Trial court sustained St. Joseph Health System and Providence St. Joseph Health's demurrer, with thirty days leave to amend on Sept. 2, 2025. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint Oct. 2, 2025. Defendants filed a demurrer Nov. 12, 2025. Motion hearing held Dec. 15, 2025.
- ***The People of the State of California v. Heartbeat International, Inc.*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. 23CV044940) – Anti-life case alleging abortion pill reversal groups engage in false or misleading statements and fraudulent business practices. Complaint filed Sept. 21, 2023. Demurrer filed Feb. 6, 2024. Trial court denied the demurrer June 2024. Trial set for June 24, 2026.
- ***The People of the State of California v. St. Joseph Health Northern California, LLC*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. CV2401832) – Pro-abortion lawsuit seeking to require a Catholic hospital to perform emergency abortions. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Sept. 30, 2024. Trial court denied St. Joseph Health's demurrer May 5, 2025.

Colorado

Federal District Court

- ***Bella Health and Wellness v. Weiser*** (D. Colo. No. 1:23-cv-939) – Chemical abortion pill reversal case challenging a Colorado law that prohibits abortion pill reversals. District court entered second amended final judgment in part in favor of defendants, and in part in favor of plaintiffs, permanently enjoining Section 3 of Colo. S.B. 23-190 on Aug. 21, 2025.
- ***McComas v. Polis*** (D. Colo. No. 1:25-cv-1618) – Assisted suicide case challenging Colorado’s residency requirements. Complaint filed May 22, 2025. Suggestion of death of Plaintiff McComas filed Sept. 11, 2025. Status report filed Oct. 14, 2025. The plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed the case Nov. 4, 2025.
- ***United Spinal Association v. Colorado*** (D. Colo. No. 1:25-cv-2014) – Assisted suicide case challenging Colorado’s End of Life Options Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint filed June 30, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss Sept. 8, 2025. Compassion and Choices filed a motion to intervene Oct. 7, 2025. Parties stipulated to the dismissal of the 14th Amendment Due Process and Equal Protection claims, but the statutory claims will proceed in litigation Dec. 22, 2025.

State Court

- ***Cohen v. Polis*** (Colo. Dist. Ct. No. 2025CV32424) – Parental involvement (notification) case. Complaint filed July 8, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss Sept. 23, 2025.

Connecticut

No cases reported.

Delaware

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Curran v. Meyer*** (3d Cir. No. 26-1001) – Assisted suicide case challenging Delaware’s End of Life Option Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint and motion for a temporary restraining order filed Dec. 8, 2025. Compassion and Choices filed a motion to intervene Dec. 19, 2025. District court held Plaintiffs do not have standing and dismissed the action for lack of jurisdiction, denied the Plaintiff’s motion for a temporary restraining order, and denied as moot Compassion and Choices’ motion to intervene Dec. 30, 2025. Plaintiffs appealed. The parties have briefed the appeal.

Federal District Court

- ***National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Jennings*** (D. Del. No. 1:25-cv-173) – Free speech case concerning disclosure signage at pregnancy centers. Complaint filed Feb. 12, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary

injunction Mar. 3, 2025. Answer filed Apr. 10, 2025. Dispositive motions due Oct. 16, 2026.

District of Columbia

Federal District Court

- ***Advancing American Freedom v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (D.D.C. No. 1:24-cv-2803) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records regarding the approval of Mifeprex and meetings between senior FDA officials, White House counsel, and foreign actors regarding chemical abortion drugs. Complaint filed Oct. 2, 2024. Answer filed Dec. 23, 2024. Joint status report filed June 18, 2026.
- ***Center for Reproductive Rights v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (D.D.C. No. 1:25-cv-3023) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records about the FDA’s decision to review mifepristone. Amended complaint filed Dec. 9, 2025. Answer filed Jan. 16, 2026. Joint status report filed May 5, 2026.
- ***Center for Reproductive Rights v. U.S. Department of Justice*** (D.D.C. No. 1:26-cv-205) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records regarding enforcement of the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act (FACE Act). Complaint filed Jan. 23, 2026. Answer filed Mar. 2, 2026. Joint status report filed May 20, 2025.
- ***Frederick Douglass Foundation, Inc. v. District of Columbia*** (D.C. Cir. No. 26-7032) – Free speech case alleging pro-life viewpoint discrimination. District of Columbia filed a motion for summary judgment Aug. 8, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Sept. 29, 2025. District court granted Defendant’s motion for summary judgment and denied Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment Feb. 24, 2026. The plaintiffs appealed. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life](#).

Florida

U.S. Supreme Court

- ***Oropesa v. United States*** (No. 25-1262) – Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act criminal case alleging vandalization of pregnancy resource centers. District court accepted guilty plea of certain defendants June 17, 2024, and entered judgment against them on Sept. 17, 2024. Jury trial for remaining defendant finished Dec. 19, 2024, with the jury entering a guilty verdict. Defendant Oropesa filed an appeal. 11th Circuit affirmed. 11th Circuit denied Defendant Oropesa’s petition for rehearing en banc Dec. 22, 2025. Defendant Oropesa filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court May 4, 2026.

Federal District Court

- ***Florida Preborn Rescue v. City of Clearwater*** (M.D. Fla. No. 8:23-cv-1173) – Sidewalk counseling case challenging a buffer zone ordinance. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Oct. 20, 2023. Interlocutory appeal filed in the 11th Circuit, which has been briefed. Oral argument held Dec. 17, 2024. 11th Circuit vacated the district court’s judgment and remanded the case with instructions that it enter the injunction Dec. 4, 2025. District court entered the parties’ stipulated permanent injunction Mar. 12, 2026.
- ***Florida v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (N.D. Tex. No. 7:25-cv-126) – Pro-life challenge to the FDA’s approval and deregulation of chemical abortion drugs. Complaint filed Dec. 9, 2025. FDA filed a motion to stay or, alternatively, to dismiss Mar. 13, 2026. District court granted Danco Laboratories, LLC and GenBioPro, Inc.’s motions to intervene Apr. 10, 2026. Danco and GenBioPro filed motions to dismiss Apr. 10, 2026.

State Court

- ***Doe v. Uthmeier*** (Fla. Ct. App. No. 5D2025-1363) – Parental involvement case concerning judicial bypass. Court of appeal affirmed the denial of the judicial bypass and certified questions to the state supreme court about whether the maturity and best-interests judicial waiver procedures comport with parental rights under the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution May 14, 2025.
- ***Office of the Attorney General v. Planned Parenthood Federation of America Inc.*** (Fla. Cir. Ct. No. 25 CA 790) – State’s deceptive practices lawsuit against Planned Parenthood for allegedly deceiving women that a chemical abortion is safer than Tylenol. Complaint filed Nov. 6, 2025. Trial court denied defendant’s motion to dismiss May 27, 2026.

Georgia

State Court

- ***SisterSong Women of Color Reproductive Justice Collective v. Georgia*** (Ga. Super. Ct. No. 2022CV367796) – Abortion gestational limits (heartbeat) case, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” Trial court issued a permanent injunction Nov. 15, 2022, finding the LIFE Act was void *ab initio*. Georgia Supreme Court reversed on the *ab initio* issue Oct. 24, 2023. Trial court crafted a state constitutional right to a pre-viability abortion and declared unconstitutional the heartbeat law Sept. 30, 2024. Georgia Supreme Court granted in part and denied in part the supersedeas Oct. 7, 2024. Georgia Supreme Court vacated the trial court’s order and remanded the case for the trial court to reconsider the issue of plaintiffs’ standing Feb. 20, 2025.

Guam

U.S. Supreme Court

- ***Moylan v. Guam Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists*** (No. 25A1247) – Case addressing whether to lift a permanent injunction, which was based solely on *Roe v. Wade*, against a 1990 abortion ban under Civil Procedure Rule 60(b). On Apr. 28, 2025, the 9th Circuit dismissed the appeal as moot in light of *In re Guerrero*, 2023 Guam 11 (Guam Oct. 31, 2023), which had determined that the Guam Legislature had repealed the abortion law by implication. 9th Circuit denied the appellant’s petition for panel rehearing and the petition for rehearing en banc Feb. 3, 2026. Supreme Court granted Guam’s application to extend the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari, which is now due July 3, 2026.

Hawaii

Federal District Court

- ***Purcell v. Becerra*** (D. Haw. No. 1:17-cv-493) – Chemical abortion case challenging mifepristone Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS). Second amended complaint filed Aug. 2, 2024. Answer filed Aug. 16, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 2, 2024. Defendants filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Dec. 3, 2024. District court granted plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment and denied defendants’ cross-motion for summary judgment, declaring the 2023 REMS action unlawful, but leaving the REMS in place since the plaintiffs did not request vacatur Oct. 30, 2025.

Idaho

Federal District Court

- ***Matsumoto v. Labrador*** (D. Idaho No. 1:23-cv-323) – Abortion parental involvement case regarding interstate abortion trafficking law. Complaint filed July 11, 2023. District court granted a preliminary injunction Nov. 8, 2023. District court granted in part (right to intrastate travel claim) and denied in part (remaining claims, including the interstate travel claim) the motion to dismiss Nov. 8, 2023. State appealed. Case is briefed, with oral argument held May 7, 2024. 9th Circuit affirmed in part (standing, sovereign immunity, First Amendment claim to the “recruiting” prong) and reversed in part (void for vagueness, expressive association, and free speech claim regarding the “harboring” and “transporting” prongs) Dec. 2, 2024. Answer filed Apr. 9, 2025. The plaintiffs and defendant filed cross-motions for summary judgment Apr. 16, 2026. State filed a motion to certify a question to the Idaho Supreme Court to interpret the statutory language Apr. 16, 2026.
- ***The Satanic Temple v. Little*** (D. Idaho No. 1:22-cv-411) – Abortion case challenging the conditional law and gestational limits (heartbeat), under the Takings Clause, Involuntary Servitude Clause, Equal Protection Clause, and Idaho

Religious Freedom Act. District court granted the motion to dismiss Jan. 31, 2024. 9th Circuit affirmed but remanded so the district court could determine whether to dismiss the case with or without prejudice Aug. 11, 2025. District court dismissed the case with prejudice Nov. 10, 2025.

- ***Seyb v. Members of the Idaho Board of Medicine*** (D. Idaho No. 1:24-cv-244) – Challenge to the abortion ban law and gestational limits (heartbeat) under the theory that the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses require abortions for the patient’s health. Amended complaint filed Apr. 11, 2025. District court granted the attorney general’s motion to intervene June 3, 2025. District court denied State’s motion for summary judgment Feb. 9, 2026. Bench trial held June 8 to 15, 2026.
- ***St. Luke’s Health System, LTD v. Labrador*** (D. Idaho No. 1:25-cv-15) – Anti-life lawsuit to enforce the EMTALA abortion mandate. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Jan. 14, 2024. District court denied the state’s motion to dismiss and granted in part the plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction to the extent Idaho’s abortion ban conflicts with EMTALA-mandated care Mar. 20, 2025. Answer filed Apr. 3, 2025. Dispositive motions due July 27, 2026.

Illinois

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Schroeder v. Treto*** (7th Cir. Nos. 25-1603 [lead], 25-1655, 25-1659, 25-1657) – Free speech case regarding anti-pregnancy center law. District court ruled one section is compelled speech by requiring a discussion about the risks and benefits of childbirth and abortion, but a separate section merely regulates professional conduct by directing clinicians to refer or transfer a patient upon request Apr. 4, 2025. Cross-appeals filed in the 7th Circuit. 7th Circuit heard oral argument Apr. 10, 2026, and have taken the case under advisement.

Federal District Court

- ***Payne v. Pritzker*** (N.D. Ill. No. 1:26-cv-6886) – Assisted suicide case challenging Illinois’s End of Life Option Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint filed June 11, 2026.
- ***Students for Life of America v. Gillespie*** (N.D. Ill. No. 1:24-cv-11928) – Pro-life challenge to an abortion insurance mandate, raising claims under the First and Fourteenth Amendments, Comstock Act’s mail-order abortion rules, Coates-Snowe and Weldon Amendments, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. Second amended complaint filed Feb. 27, 2025. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss Mar. 31, 2025. Plaintiffs Innovator Capital Management LLC and Southard filed a notice of voluntary dismissal Oct. 13, 2025.

State Court

- ***Doe v. Reisinger-Kindle*** (Ill. Cir. Ct. No. 2025LA000054) – Medical negligence lawsuit against an abortionist after a post-abortive woman presented to the emergency room with a perforated uterus and retained fetal remains. Amended complaint filed Sept. 29, 2025.

Indiana

U.S. Supreme Court

- ***E.D. v. Noblesville School District*** (No. 25-906) – Free speech case involving a high school’s denial of a pro-life club’s request to put up certain flyers on the school’s walls. The 7th Circuit affirmed the grant of summary judgment for the school district Aug. 14, 2025. 7th Circuit denied the plaintiffs-appellants’ petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc Sept. 29, 2025. Supreme Court denied the petition for a writ of certiorari June 15, 2026.

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Planned Parenthood of Indiana & Kentucky v. Commissioner, Indiana State Department of Health*** (7th Cir. No. 24-2219) – Abortion parental notice case. District court granted plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment and denied defendants’ motion for a summary judgment May 1, 2024. Appealed to the 7th Circuit. Case is briefed. 7th Circuit heard oral argument on Sept. 9, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)
- ***Planned Parenthood of Indiana v. Commissioner of the Indiana State Department of Health*** (7th Cir. No. 26-1597) – Abortion funding restriction case concerning decertification of abortion clinics as Medicaid providers. State filed a motion to vacate the injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 60(b)(5) in light of *Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic* (U.S. No. 23-1275) on July 3, 2025. District court denied the motion to vacate as premature without prejudice Mar. 26, 2026. State appealed. The appeal is currently in briefing.
- ***The Satanic Temple v. Holcomb*** (7th Cir. No. 23-3247) – Abortion case challenging the abortion ban law under the Takings Clause, Involuntary Servitude Clause, Equal Protection Clause, and Indiana Religious Freedom Restoration Act. District court granted the state’s motion to dismiss Oct. 25, 2023. Plaintiff appealed to the 7th Circuit, which is currently in briefing. Briefing has concluded, and the court heard oral argument on Oct. 24, 2024. 7th Circuit affirmed Jan. 6, 2026.

Federal District Court

- ***Scifres v. Commissioner, Indiana Department of Health*** (S.D. Ind. No. 1:24-cv-2262) – Challenge to the reporting requirements under a HIPAA theory. Plaintiff filed a renewed motion for a preliminary injunction Oct. 1, 2025. The

plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment and permanent injunction Jan. 9, 2026. State filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Feb. 6, 2026.

State Court

- ***Anonymous Plaintiffs 1-5 v. The Individual Members of the Medical Licensing Board of Indiana*** (Ind. Ct. App. No. 26A-PL-00582) – Challenge to the abortion ban law alleging a state Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) claim. Trial court granted the motion for a preliminary injunction Dec. 2, 2022. Indiana Court of Appeals affirmed Apr. 4, 2024. State filed a petition to transfer the case to the state supreme court May 21, 2024. Indiana Supreme Court denied the petition to transfer Dec. 10, 2024. Trial court denied the state’s cross-motion for summary judgment and granted the plaintiff’s cross-motion for summary judgment Mar. 5, 2026. State appealed.
- ***Bernard v. Indiana State Health Commissioner*** (Ind. Ct. App. No. 25A-PL-00782) – Abortionists’ lawsuit seeking a declaratory judgment that terminated pregnancy reports are exempt from general disclosure requirements in Indiana’s Access to Public Records Act. Complaint filed Feb. 6, 2025. Trial court issued a preliminary injunction Mar. 24, 2025. State filed an interlocutory appeal. Indiana Court of Appeals affirmed Dec. 5, 2025. State health commissioner filed a petition to transfer to the state supreme court Jan. 20, 2026. Voices for Life filed a petition to transfer to the state supreme court Feb. 2, 2026.
- ***Members of the Medical Licensing Board of Indiana v. Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawai’i, Alaska, Indiana, Kentucky, Inc.*** (Ind. Ct. App. No. 24A-PL-02467) – Challenge to the abortion ban law, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” On June 30, 2023, the Indiana Supreme Court held the state constitution provides a right to an abortion to protect the mother’s life or prevent “a serious health risk,” but the legislature otherwise has broad authority to regulate abortion. Petition for rehearing denied Aug. 21, 2023. Amended complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed in the trial court Nov. 9, 2023. Circuit court consolidated the trial with the hearing on the pending preliminary injunction motion Nov. 21, 2023. Bench trial held May 29–31, 2024. Trial court denied the motion for a permanent injunction Sept. 11, 2024. Parties have briefed the Indiana Court of Appeals. Indiana Court of Appeals affirmed Aug. 11, 2025. Abortionists filed a petition to transfer the case to the Indiana Supreme Court Sept. 24, 2025. Indiana Supreme Court denied the petition to transfer May 14, 2026.

Iowa

No cases reported.

Kansas

State Court

- ***Aria Medical Clinic v. Kansas Board of Nursing*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. SN-2025-CV-298) – Physician-only rule case to permit advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) to perform abortions. Petition filed Apr. 22, 2025. District court denied State’s motion to dismiss Nov. 26, 2025. Answer filed Dec. 22, 2025. On May 26, 2026, the trial court granted the State’s motion to stay based on “institutional turmoil” affecting the Kansas State Board of Nursing, and directed the parties to set a status conference after July 1, 2026.
- ***Hodes & Nauser v. Kobach*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. 2023-cv-03140) – Abortion informed consent (abortion pill reversal disclosure, reflection period) case. Complaint filed June 6, 2023. Motion to dismiss filed Aug. 25, 2023. Trial court issued a temporary injunction Oct. 30, 2023. State appealed. On July 22, 2024, the trial court granted leave for the plaintiffs to add a challenge to H.B. 2749, which directs abortionists to ask each patient the reasons why she is seeking an abortion. District court denied the State’s motion to dismiss Nov. 20, 2024. Bench trial held Sept. 26–30 and Oct. 14–17, 2025. Trial court denied State’s renewed motion to dismiss the claims regarding the Woman’s Right to Know Act June 9, 2026. Awaiting the court’s trial ruling.
- ***Trust Women Foundation Inc. v. Bennett*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. 2019-cv-60) – Chemical abortion (telemedicine) case. Kansas Court of Appeals reversed the denial of the temporary injunction and held that Trust Women had standing to sue the Board of Healing Arts May 20, 2022. Trial court granted a temporary injunction Nov. 23, 2022. Parties entered a joint stipulation of dismissal, agreeing that the telemedicine restriction is facially unconstitutional under the state constitution Mar. 13, 2025.
- ***Vernon v. Kobach*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. DG-2025-cv-000252) – End-of-life case concerning restrictions on the effect of advance health care directives during pregnancy. Complaint filed May 29, 2025. Trial court denied in part (patient-plaintiffs’ claims and physician-plaintiffs’ claims on own behalf) and granted in part (physician-plaintiffs’ claims on behalf of their patients) State’s motion to dismiss May 22, 2026.

Kentucky

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Sisters for Life, Inc. v. Louisville-Jefferson County, KY Metro Government*** (6th Cir. Nos. 25-5888, 25-5890) – Sidewalk counselors’ case challenging the buffer zone law. District court issued a preliminary injunction Jan. 3, 2023. The plaintiffs filed motions for summary judgment Mar. 16, 2023. District court denied the defendants’ motion to dismiss May 30, 2024. District court granted the plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment (free speech, free exercise, Ky. RFRA) but dismissed as forfeited count II (freedom of assembly) Sept. 13, 2024. District

court granted in part and denied in part the plaintiffs' motions for attorney's fees Sept. 30, 2025. The plaintiffs appealed. The parties have briefed the appeal.

State Court

- ***Sobel v. Coleman*** (Ky. Ct. App. No. 2024-CA-0849) – Abortion conditional law case, alleging a free exercise claim. Circuit court denied plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on the standing issue June 28, 2024. Kentucky Court of Appeals affirmed in part (two plaintiffs' standing) and reversed in part (remaining plaintiff's standing) July 11, 2025. Kentucky Court of Appeals denied the Kentucky Attorney General's petition for rehearing Aug. 11, 2025. Trial court partially granted (unconstitutional vagueness and unintelligible claims) and partially denied (Kentucky Religious Freedom Restoration Act claims) Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, and partially granted (Kentucky Religious Freedom Restoration Act claims) and partially denied (unconstitutional vagueness and unintelligible claims) Defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment May 1, 2026.

Louisiana

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***United States Conference of Catholic Bishops v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** (5th Cir. No. 25-30398) – Administrative law challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act final rule that contrives abortion protections. District court granted in part (exceeding statutory authority claim) and denied in part (unlawfully narrowed the religious exemption) the USCCB's motion for partial summary judgment and permanent injunction May 21, 2025. USCCB appealed. The appeal is currently in briefing. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Federal District Court

- ***Louisiana v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (5th Cir. No. 26-30203) – Pro-life challenge to the 2023 REMS that removed the in-person dispensing requirement for mifepristone. Complaint filed Oct. 6, 2025. District court denied the State's motion for preliminary relief Apr. 7, 2026. 5th Circuit granted the motion to stay the 2023 REMS pending appeal May 1, 2026. Supreme Court granted the stay pending disposition of the appeal May 14, 2026. The 5th Circuit case is in briefing, with oral argument set for Sept. 9, 2026.

State Court

- ***Birthmark Doula Collective, LLC v. Louisiana*** (La. Dist. Ct. No. C-75521733) – Challenge to Louisiana law that classifies the abortion pill regimen as controlled substances. Complaint filed Oct. 31, 2024. Trial court denied the state's peremptory exceptions May 15, 2025.

Maine

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Family Planning Association of Maine d/b/a Maine Family Planning v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (1st Cir. No. 25-1829) – Abortion funding restriction case involving a pro-abortion challenge to the One Big Beautiful Bill’s (Pub. L. No. 119-21) abortion clinic defunding. Complaint filed July 16, 2025. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 25, 2025. Abortionists appealed. 1st Circuit entered parties’ stipulated dismissal Dec. 29, 2025.

State Court

- ***Maine v. Andrade*** (Me. Super. Ct. No. PORSC-CV-25-276) – Lawsuit under the Maine Civil Rights Act requesting a 150-foot buffer zone to restrict a sidewalk counselor’s speech outside an abortion clinic. Complaint filed Aug. 21, 2025. Trial court denied the State’s motion for a preliminary injunction Dec. 2025.

Maryland

Federal District Court

- ***American Civil Liberties Union v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (D. Md. No. 8:25-cv-3736) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records about the FDA’s review of mifepristone. Complaint filed Nov. 13, 2025. Answer filed Jan. 20, 2026. Joint status report filed May 28, 2026.
- ***GenBioPro, Inc. v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (D. Md. No. 8:23-cv-1057) – Drug manufacturer seeking to keep its generic chemical abortion drug on the market. Complaint filed Apr. 19, 2023. Case stayed pending *Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*. Status report filed Dec. 16, 2024. District court issued an order continuing the stay of the case on Aug. 19, 2025. District court approved of GenBioPro’s notice of voluntary dismissal Nov. 17, 2025.

Massachusetts

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***A Woman’s Concern, Inc., d/b/a Your Options Medical Centers v. Healey*** (1st Cir. 26-1264) – Lawsuit against State for its targeted campaign against pregnancy centers, alleging free speech, free exercise, and equal protection infringements. District court granted the motions to dismiss Feb. 17, 2026. The pregnancy center appealed. The appeal is currently in briefing.

Federal District Court

- ***California v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (D. Mass. No. 1:25-cv-12118) – Abortion funding restriction case involving a pro-abortion

challenge to the One Big Beautiful Bill's (Pub. L. No. 119-21) abortion clinic defunding. Complaint filed July 29, 2025. District court granted a preliminary injunction Dec. 2, 2025. HHS appealed. 1st Circuit granted the motion for a stay pending appeal Dec. 30, 2025. The plaintiffs filed a notice of voluntary dismissal Mar. 17, 2026. 1st Circuit dismissed the appeal as moot June 2, 2026.

- ***Four Women Health Services, LLC v. Abundant Hope Pregnancy Resource Center Inc.*** (D. Mass. No. 1:24-cv-12283) – Lawsuit against a pregnancy resource center for allegedly accessing patient communications. Four Women Health Services filed an amended complaint Jan. 13, 2025. Defendant Choose Life Marketing filed an amended answer Jan. 22, 2025. Pro-life center filed a motion to dismiss Mar. 14, 2025. Defendant Abundant Hope Pregnancy Resource Center filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings Apr. 4, 2025. District court denied the motion to dismiss, but granted in part (Electronic Communications Privacy Act) and denied in part (Computer Fraud and Abuse Act) Defendant Abundant Hope's motion for judgment on the pleadings Mar. 26, 2026. Choose Life Marketing filed an answer and counterclaim Apr. 23, 2026.
- ***Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc v. Kennedy*** (D. Mass. No. 1:25-cv-11913) – Abortion funding restriction case involving a pro-abortion challenge to the One Big Beautiful Bill's (Pub. L. No. 119-21) abortion clinic defunding. Complaint filed July 7, 2025. District court granted in part the plaintiffs' motion for an emergency preliminary injunction July 21, 2025. Federal government appealed. 1st Circuit entered a stay pending appeal Sept. 11, 2025. 1st Circuit denied Planned Parenthood's emergency motion for reconsideration of the stay order Sept. 18, 2025. Currently in briefing. Set for argument on Nov. 12, 2025. 1st Circuit vacated and remanded the case Dec. 12, 2025. Planned Parenthood voluntarily dismissed the case Jan. 30, 2026.
- ***Thopurathu v. Springfield College*** (D. Mass. No. 3:25-cv-30151) – Case involving a college's dismissal of a physician assistant master's degree student after the Catholic student submitted feedback that she was overwhelmed seeing a D&E abortion procedure and the school should give advance notice to future students that they will see an abortion. Complaint filed in state court July 11, 2025. Springfield College removed the case to federal court Aug. 25, 2025. Springfield College filed a motion to dismiss Sept. 19, 2025. District court granted in part (including the Title VI claim) and denied in part (including the breach of contract and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing claims) the defendants' motion to dismiss Dec. 18, 2025. Answer filed Jan. 9, 2026.

State Court

- ***Bethlehem House, Inc. v. Reproductive Equity Now Foundation, Inc.*** (Mass. Super. Ct. No. 2580CV00009) – Pregnancy center's defamation lawsuit against a pro-abortion organization for allegedly discrediting the pregnancy center by baselessly accusing it of deceiving women. Complaint filed Jan. 29, 2025. Answer filed Mar. 21, 2025. No recent action.

Michigan

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Right to Life of Michigan v. Whitmer*** (6th Cir. No. 25-1973) – Pro-life challenge to the state constitutional right to “reproductive freedom.” First amended complaint filed Feb. 20, 2024. District court granted the state’s motion to dismiss on standing grounds Sept. 30, 2025. Right to Life appealed Oct. 28, 2025. 6th Circuit affirmed May 26, 2026.

Federal District Court

- ***Sidewalk Advocates for Life v. City of Detroit*** (E.D. Mich. No. 4:26-cv-10911) – Sidewalk counselors’ case challenging an ordinance that establishes a 15-foot buffer zone with an 8-foot bubble zone ordinance within 100 feet. Complaint filed Mar. 18, 2026. Detroit filed a motion to stay the case for 60 days because the city is considering whether to amend the ordinance June 26, 2026.

State Court

- ***Northland Family Planning Center v. Nessel*** (Mich. Ct. App. No. 375785) – Informed consent (reflection period and informational disclosures) and physician-only rule case alleging infringement upon the state constitutional amendment protecting abortion. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Feb. 6, 2024. Court of claims held certain provisions are unconstitutional and not severable May 13, 2025. Northland Family Planning Center appealed regarding remaining health and safety provisions May 27, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal.
- ***The Young Women’s Christian Association of Kalamazoo, Michigan v. State of Michigan*** (Mich. Ct. App. No. 376582) – Abortion funding restriction case challenging the state “Hyde” Amendment. Complaint filed June 27, 2024. Court of claims granted the intervenors’ motion for summary disposition July 3, 2025. YMCA appealed July 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal.

Minnesota

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Women’s Life Care Center v. Ellison*** (8th Cir. No. 25-2905) – Pro-life challenge to Minnesota’s abortion laws under the 14th Amendment. Amended complaint filed Apr. 11, 2025. District court granted the defendants’ motion to dismiss and denied as moot the plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 27, 2025. Women’s Life Care Center appealed Sept. 22, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal.

Mississippi

State Court

- ***American Association of Pro-life Obstetricians and Gynecologists v. Mississippi State Board of Medical Licensure*** (Miss. No. 2024-SA-01281) – Pro-life lawsuit seeking a declaratory judgment that Mississippi’s abortion ban law is lawful under the state constitution. Trial court dismissed the case for lack of standing Oct. 15, 2024. Plaintiff appealed to the Mississippi Supreme Court. The parties have briefed the appeal, and the case is submitted without oral argument as of Jan. 5, 2026.

Missouri

Federal District Court

- ***Missouri v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (E.D. Mo. No. 4:25-cv-1580) – Pro-life challenge to the FDA’s approval and deregulation of chemical abortion drugs. Intervenor-states filed an amended complaint Jan. 16, 2025. FDA filed a motion to dismiss the intervenor-states’ amended complaint Jan. 18, 2025. Danco filed a motion to dismiss the intervenor-states’ amended complaint Jan. 28, 2025. District court granted GenBioPro’s motion to intervene as a defendant Apr. 28, 2025. Texas and Florida filed a motion to intervene as plaintiffs Aug. 22, 2025. Louisiana and a woman harmed by chemical abortion filed a motion to intervene as plaintiffs Sept. 19, 2025. District court transferred the case to the Eastern District of Missouri Oct. 23, 2025. Defendant FDA and Intervenor-Defendant Danco Laboratories filed motions to dismiss Mar. 6, 2026. Intervenor-Defendant GenBioPro filed a motion to dismiss Mar. 13, 2026. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

State Court

- ***Comprehensive Health of Planned Parenthood Great Plains v. Missouri*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 2416-CV31931) – Omnibus abortion case regarding gestational limits, health and safety, chemical abortion, reporting requirements, informed consent under the state constitutional right to an abortion. Trial held Jan. 12 to 26, 2026. Trial court ruled in favor of Planned Parenthood for most counts June 18, 2026.
- ***Fitz-James v. Hoskins*** (Mo. Ct. App. No. WD88392) – Pro-abortion challenge to block pro-life ballot initiative. Petition filed July 2, 2025. Trial court entered final judgment for the secretary of state Oct. 7, 2025. Missouri Court of Appeals affirmed in part (that initiative is constitutional) and reversed in part (that ballot language sufficiently advises voters it would repeal and replace the state constitutional right to abortion) Dec. 4, 2025.
- ***Missouri ex rel. Bailey v. Planned Parenthood Federation of America*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 25AC-CC05812) – State’s consumer protection lawsuit against Planned

Parenthood for allegedly deceiving women that a chemical abortion is safer than Tylenol. Complaint filed July 23, 2025. Answer filed Sept. 2, 2025.

- ***Missouri v. Planned Parenthood Great Plains*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 24BA-CV00990) – Abortion parental involvement case regarding interstate abortion trafficking. Complaint filed Feb. 29, 2024. Circuit court denied Planned Parenthood’s motion to dismiss June 18, 2024. Circuit court denied Planned Parenthood’s motion to dismiss the lawsuit due to the state constitutional amendment Apr. 28, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss Planned Parenthood’s counterclaim asserting that the Fourteenth Amendment’s guarantee of parental rights preempts Planned Parenthood’s interpretation of the state constitutional right to abortion July 2, 2025. Planned Parenthood filed a motion to dismiss May 4, 2026.

Montana

State Court

- ***All Families Healthcare v. State of Montana*** (Mont. No. DA 25-0040) – Abortion health and safety (clinic licensing) case. Motion to dismiss filed Sept. 22, 2023. Trial court granted the preliminary injunction Nov. 15, 2024. Appealed to the Montana Supreme Court. Montana Supreme Court affirmed Mar. 31, 2026.
- ***Montana Life Defense Fund v. Montana*** (Mont. Dist. Ct. No. DV-56-2025-966) – Pro-life challenge to the new state constitutional right to abortion. Complaint filed Aug. 5, 2025. Montana Life Defense Fund filed an amended complaint Oct. 7, 2025. Intervenor-defendant Planned Parenthood Advocates of Montana filed a motion to dismiss Oct. 21, 2025. State filed an answer Oct. 21, 2025. Montana Life Defense Fund filed a motion for summary judgment Nov. 24, 2025. Intervenor Planned Parenthood filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Dec. 10, 2025. District court granted Intervenor Planned Parenthood’s motion to dismiss May 4, 2026.
- ***Planned Parenthood of Montana v. Montana*** (Mont. No. DA 26-0056) – Abortion gestational limits (dismemberment) case. Trial court granted the plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment and denied the state’s motion for summary judgment Mar. 7, 2025. The State and Planned Parenthood filed cross-appeals over the merits questions and attorney’s fees, and the appeal is in briefing.
- ***Planned Parenthood of Montana v. Montana*** (Mont. No. DA 25-0602) – Abortion funding restriction case challenging the state “Hyde Amendment.” Amended complaint filed May 18, 2023. Trial court issued a preliminary injunction May 22, 2023. Montana Supreme Court affirmed Oct. 9, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 16, 2024. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment Nov. 22, 2024. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment and denied the state’s motion for summary judgment Mar. 11, 2025. State filed an appeal Aug. 21, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal.

Nebraska

No cases reported.

Nevada

State Court

- ***Planned Parenthood Mar Monte, Inc. v. Nevada ex rel. Office of the Nevada Attorney General*** (Nev. No. 91394) – Abortion parental involvement (notification) case. Complaint filed July 21, 2025. District court denied Planned Parenthood’s motion for a preliminary injunction Sept. 26, 2025. Nevada Supreme Court reversed and remanded May 28, 2026.

New Hampshire

No cases reported.

New Jersey

U.S. Supreme Court

- ***First Choice Women’s Resource Centers, Inc. v. Platkin*** (No. 24-781) – First Amendment case concerning an expansive investigatory subpoena against a pregnancy center. District court held First Choice’s constitutional claims were not ripe Nov. 12, 2024. 3d Circuit affirmed Dec. 12, 2024. Supreme Court reversed and remanded in a 9-0 decision in favor of the pregnancy center Apr. 29, 2026. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Bryman v. Murphy*** (3d Cir. No. 24-2947) – Assisted suicide case challenging New Jersey’s residency requirements. Complaint filed Aug. 29, 2023. Motion to dismiss filed Jan. 31, 2024. District court granted the motion to dismiss Sept. 18, 2024. 3d Circuit affirmed Dec. 5, 2025.

New Mexico

No cases reported.

New York

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***The Evergreen Association v. Hochul*** (2d Cir. No. 25-422) – Conscience rights case regarding the abortion-related “Boss Bill.” District court granted the motion to dismiss. District court granted the state’s motion for summary judgment and denied the plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment Jan. 31, 2025. Evergreen Association filed a notice of appeal Feb. 21, 2025. The parties briefed the appeal, and the 2nd Circuit heard oral argument Jan. 27, 2026.

- ***Hulinsky v. County of Westchester*** (2d Cir. No. 25-2545) – Sidewalk counselors’ case challenging a buffer zone law on free speech, free assembly, due process, and free exercise of religion grounds. District court granted in part (free speech, due process, and free exercise claims regarding certain provisions) and denied in part (free speech and due process claims regarding remaining provisions) the defendant’s motion for summary judgment Mar. 14, 2025. District court denied the plaintiffs’ motion for reconsideration Apr. 28, 2025. District court entered final judgment for plaintiffs on the 2022 version of one provision that vaguely defined interference with a reproductive facility so that it included peaceful sidewalk counseling but dismissed with prejudice remaining claims Oct. 1, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal on Oct. 14, 2025 regarding the provision setting up a 25-foot bubble zone around a person to prevent “follow[ing] and harass[ment].” The parties have briefed the appeal.
- ***National Institute for Family and Life Advocates v. James*** (2d Cir. Nos. 24-2481 [lead], 24-2630) – Pro-life lawsuit against the N.Y. Attorney General for allegedly chilling the speech of pregnancy resource centers. District court granted the motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 22, 2024. State appealed Sept. 18, 2024. Plaintiffs appealed Oct. 2, 2024. Case is briefed. 2d Circuit heard oral argument on June 24, 2025. 2d Circuit affirmed Dec. 1, 2025.

Federal District Court

- ***American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Department of Health and Human Services*** (S.D.N.Y. No. 1:26-cv-3098) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records regarding federal government’s treatment of pregnant, unaccompanied minors in the custody of the Office of Refugee Resettlement, including if the minor is seeking access to abortion. Complaint filed Apr. 15, 2026. Answer filed May 20, 2026.
- ***Brooklyn Center for Independence of the Disabled v. Hochul*** (E.D.N.Y. No. 1:26-cv-3492) – Assisted suicide case challenging New York’s Medical Aid in Dying Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint filed June 11, 2026. Individuals with disabilities filed a motion to intervene as defendants June 22, 2026.
- ***Crisis Pregnancy Services, Inc. d/b/a/ CompassCare v. Kamke*** (W.D.N.Y. No. 1:23-cv-1057) – Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act civil case seeking damages and injunctive relief for vandalization of a pregnancy resource center. Motion to dismiss filed Mar. 13, 2024. District court granted in part (threatening force in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1) claim) and denied in part (CompassCare’s remaining claims) Page’s motion to dismiss, and granted in part (negligent infliction of emotional distress claim) and denied in part (medical malpractice and negligence claims) CompassCare’s motion to dismiss Kamke’s counterclaims Sept. 30, 2025. District court denied CompassCare’s motion for reconsideration of Kamke’s claims for punitive damages, attorney fees for medical practice, and lack of informed consent, which the court did not address or decide in its previous order Jan. 31, 2026. District court entered stipulation of

dismissal of Defendant Kamke and Counterclaim Plaintiff CompassCare Feb. 26, 2026. District court entered the stipulation of dismissal of Defendant Page and directed the court clerk to close the case Apr. 23, 2026.

- ***Mayday Health v. Jackley*** (S.D.N.Y. No. 1:26-cv-78) – Lawsuit to prevent South Dakota Attorney General from punishing the plaintiff for abortion advertisements. Complaint filed Jan. 6, 2026. Parties filed a joint notice of voluntary dismissal Mar. 11, 2026.
- ***People of the State of New York, by Letitia James v. Red Rose Rescue*** (S.D.N.Y. No. 7:23-cv-4832) – Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act civil case seeking damages and injunctive relief against Red Rose Rescue. Complaint filed June 8, 2023. District court granted the preliminary injunction in part Dec. 7, 2023. State filed a motion for summary judgment Aug. 1, 2024. District court granted the state’s motion for summary judgment regarding liability Mar. 20, 2025. State filed a motion to compel defendants to produce documents and respond to interrogatories Dec. 5, 2025.

State Court

- ***Heartbeat International Inc. v. James*** (N.Y. Sup. Ct. No. 451314/2024) – Pro-life lawsuit against the N.Y. Attorney General to protect access to abortion pill reversals. Complaint filed Apr. 30, 2024. Heartbeat filed an anti-SLAPP motion May 22, 2024. Attorney General filed a motion to dismiss May 22, 2024. N.Y. Supreme Court consolidated cases and determined the venue would be in Rochester. Attorney General appealed the consolidation order. The Appellate Division modified the order by designating Supreme Court, New York County as the venue July 25, 2025. Heartbeat’s anti-SLAPP motion and New York’s motion to dismiss are awaiting an order.
- ***Roman Catholic Diocese of Albany v. Harris*** (N.Y. No. APL-2025-00157) – Conscience rights case regarding abortion mandate, which also challenges the *Smith* test. New York Court of Appeals affirmed the dismissal of the lawsuit May 21, 2024. The Supreme Court granted, vacated, and remanded the case for further consideration in light of *Catholic Charities Bureau, Inc. v. Wisconsin Labor & Industry Review Comm’n*, 605 U.S. 238 (2025) on June 16, 2025. Parties settled the case, which recognized a religious exemption for remaining plaintiffs January 16, 2026.
- ***Texas v. Bruck*** (N.Y. App. Div. No. CV-25-1839) – Mandamus action seeking to have a county clerk file summary judgment against a New York abortion doctor who mailed chemical abortion drugs into Texas, which raises a Full Faith and Credit Clause challenge against New York’s shield law. Petition for a writ of mandamus filed July 28, 2025. New York Attorney General filed a notice of intervention on Sept. 8, 2025. New York Supreme Court granted the respondent’s motion to dismiss and denied the New York Attorney General’s motion to intervene since the constitutionality of the law was not at issue Oct. 31, 2025. Texas appealed. Proposed intervenor New York filed a cross-appeal. Texas filed its brief May 15, 2026.

North Carolina

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Bryant v. Moore*** (4th Cir. Nos. 24-1576, 24-1600, 24-1617) – Chemical abortion case alleging the preemption of pro-life state laws. On Apr. 30, 2024, district court issued an opinion and order granting the defendant-intervenors’ motion for summary judgment in part (informed consent provisions) and denied in part (safety restrictions that the FDA implemented then later removed). District court issued the judgment and permanent injunction on June 3, 2024. Cross-appealed to the 4th Circuit. Parties have briefed the case before the 4th Circuit.

North Dakota

State Court

- ***Access Independent Health Services, Inc., d/b/a Red River Women’s Clinic v. Wrigley*** (N.D. No. 20240291) – Abortion conditional law case, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” District court denied the state’s motion for summary judgment Sept. 12, 2024, finding “a fundamental right to choose abortion before viability exists under the enumerated and unenumerated interests protected by the North Dakota Constitution.” North Dakota Supreme Court reversed since there was not a sufficient four-justice majority to declare it unconstitutional Nov. 21, 2025.

Ohio

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Knotts v. City of Cuyahoga Falls*** (6th Circuit No. 26-3395) – First Amendment case alleging retaliatory arrest and prosecution of sidewalk counselors. Complaint filed May 30, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction June 3, 2025. Defendants filed a partial motion to dismiss the plaintiffs’ complaint July 7, 2025. District court granted the defendants’ partial motion to dismiss, dismissing the federal claims, and declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claims Mar. 31, 2026. The plaintiffs appealed. The appeal is currently in briefing.

Federal District Court

- ***Ohio v. Becerra*** (S.D. Ohio No. 1:21-cv-675) – Pro-life challenge that HHS’ 2021 final rule violates abortion funding restrictions. District court denied plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction. 6th Circuit affirmed in part (program integrity requirements) and reversed in part (abortion referral mandate) Nov. 30, 2023. District court granted Ohio’s unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction to implement the 6th Circuit’s decision June 11, 2024. States filed a motion for summary judgment and vacatur of rule Jan. 24, 2025. District court granted all parties’ consent motion to stay until all proceedings resolve in

Tennessee v. Becerra (6th Cir. No. 24-5220) and *Oklahoma v. HHS* (U.S. No. 24-0437) Feb. 13, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

State Court

- ***Planned Parenthood Southwest Ohio Region v. Ohio Department of Health*** (Ohio Ct. App. No. C2500163) – Fetal remains case. Amended complaint filed. Granted preliminary injunction. Answer filed Feb. 28, 2022. Planned Parenthood amended its complaint Apr. 16, 2024. Trial court granted Planned Parenthood’s motion for judgment on the pleadings Feb. 13, 2025. The state appealed Mar. 18, 2025. Ohio Court of Appeals affirmed as modified, which narrowed the injunction Feb. 25, 2026.
- ***Planned Parenthood Southwest Ohio Region v. Ohio Department of Health*** (Ohio Ct. C.P. No. A2101148) – Chemical abortion (telemedicine) case. Preliminary injunction issued. Amended complaint filed May 21, 2024. Trial court granted Planned Parenthood’s motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 29, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a third motion for a preliminary injunction Feb. 26, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint to add additional challenges to laws regulating advanced practice clinicians from providing chemical abortions on July 15, 2025. Dispositive motions are due Sept. 30, 2026. Bench trial is set for Mar. 15, 2027.
- ***Preterm-Cleveland v. Yost*** (Ohio Ct. App. No. C2400668) – Abortion gestational limits (heartbeat) case. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for judgment on the pleadings Oct. 24, 2024. State appealed. The Ohio Court of Appeals heard oral argument on Sept. 16, 2025. Ohio Court of Appeals affirmed in part (heartbeat law), reversed in part (overly broad injunction that prevented enforcement of S.B. 23’s provisions that were not challenged in litigation), and remanded the case for further proceedings Jan. 7, 2026.
- ***Preterm-Cleveland v. Yost*** (Ohio Ct. C.P. No. 24 CV 002634) – Abortion informed consent (reflection period, state informational materials) and in-person appointment case. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Mar. 29, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss May 7, 2024. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 23, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment May 22, 2026.
- ***State ex rel. Corwin v. Ohio Department of Health*** (Ohio No. 2025-1578) – Original action in mandamus to compel disclosure of public records regarding a Toledo, Ohio abortion clinic. Mandamus action filed Dec. 3, 2025. Ohio Supreme Court sua sponte granted an alternative writ Apr. 29, 2026. The case is currently in briefing.
- ***Women’s Medical Group Professional Corp. v. Vanderhoff*** (Ohio Ct. App. No. C 2500549) – Abortion health and safety case challenging licensing requirements in S.B. 157. Amended complaint filed Apr. 15, 2024. Answer filed May 29, 2024. State appealed the order requiring Defendant Vanderhoff, the state director of health, to appear for a deposition. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for leave to supplement complaint. Oct. 22, 2025. Trial court (Ohio Ct.

C.P. No. A2200704) stayed proceedings pending the outcome of the appeal Dec. 10, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal.

Oklahoma

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***State of Oklahoma v. United States Department of Health and Human Services*** (10th Cir. No. 24-6063) – Pro-life challenge to HHS’ termination of Title X grant funding because Oklahoma won’t commit to providing abortion referrals. Complaint filed Nov. 17, 2023. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed Jan. 26, 2024. Answer filed Feb. 21, 2024. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 26, 2024. 10th Circuit affirmed July 15, 2024. On June 30, 2025, the Supreme Court granted, vacated, and remanded the case for further consideration in light of *Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic*, 606 U.S. ___ (2025). 10th Circuit directed the parties to file supplemental briefing on whether the parties agree on a proposed disposition of the appeal based on the Supreme Court’s remand.

State Court

- ***Oklahoma Call for Reproductive Justice v. O’Connor*** (Okla. Dist. Ct. No. CV-2021-2072) – Abortion “minibus” case regarding heartbeat, licensing, physician-only, and chemical abortion provisions, and abortion ban case. Temporary injunction granted in part and denied in part Oct. 7, 2021. Oklahoma Supreme Court vacated and remanded for further proceedings on the merits Nov. 14, 2023. Oklahoma Supreme Court denied the state’s petition for rehearing Feb. 5, 2024. State filed a motion to dismiss in the district court Mar. 8, 2024. Motion to dismiss hearing held Apr. 17, 2024. No recent major action.

Oregon

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Oregon Right to Life v. Stolfi*** (9th Cir. No. 24-6650) – Conscience rights case challenging abortion and contraception insurance mandates. District court granted the motion to dismiss Sept. 30, 2024. Oregon Right to Life appealed to the 9th Circuit. Oregon Right to Life filed a motion for injunction pending appeal in the district court (D. Or. No. 6:23-cv-1282) on Nov. 4, 2024, which the district court denied on Oct. 14, 2025. 9th Circuit reversed and remanded so the district court could apply *Catholic Charities Bureau, Inc. v. Wisconsin Labor & Industry Review Commission*, 605 U.S. 238 (2025) to the facts of this case Oct. 31, 2025. District court converted the plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction into a motion for summary judgment and granted the motion Apr. 14, 2026.

Pennsylvania

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Pennsylvania v. Trump*** (3d Cir. Nos. 25-2575 [lead], 25-2662) – Challenge to the conscience exemptions for the Affordable Care Act’s contraceptive mandate. District court granted in part (arbitrary and capricious claim) summary judgment for the plaintiff-states, granted in part (excess of statutory authority claim, and notice-and-comment requirement claim) summary judgment for the defendant-federal government, and vacated the religious and moral exemption rules Aug. 13, 2025. Intervenor-defendant Little Sisters of the Poor appealed Aug. 13, 2025. Federal government appealed Aug. 26, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal. Oral argument is set for July 7, 2026.

State Court

- ***Allegheny Reproductive Health Center v. Pennsylvania Department of Human Services*** (Pa. Commw. Ct. No. 26 MD 2019) – Abortion funding (Medicaid) case regarding a state “Hyde Amendment.” Trial court ruled for the state. Commonwealth court affirmed. Pennsylvania Supreme Court reversed and remanded for further proceedings Jan. 29, 2024, holding a sex-based distinction is presumptively unconstitutional under the state Equal Rights Amendment and is subject to strict scrutiny under the state Equal Protection Clause, while two justices held there is a state constitutional right to reproductive autonomy. Abortionists filed an application for summary relief July 19, 2024. En banc oral argument before the Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court was held Nov. 5, 2025. Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court held the abortion funding restriction violates the Pennsylvania Equal Rights Amendment and equal protection provisions Apr. 20, 2026. State appealed May 19, 2026. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Rhode Island

No cases reported.

South Carolina

Federal District Court

- ***Bingham v. Wilson*** (D.S.C. No. 2:25-cv-163) – Abortion doctors’ challenge to the abortion ban and the abortion ban’s exceptions for being vague under the Due Process Clause and violating the Free Exercise Clause. District court granted in part (free exercise claim) and denied in part (vagueness claim and standing) the state’s motion to dismiss May 7, 2025. Answer filed May 21, 2025. District court denied the state’s motion for judgment on the pleadings Sept. 16, 2025. Plaintiffs and intervenor-defendant Governor McMaster filed cross-motions for summary judgment June 16, 2026.

South Dakota

State Court

- ***South Dakota v. Mayday Medicines Inc. d/b/a Mayday Health*** (S.D. Cir. Ct. No. 32 CIV 25-339) – Lawsuit seeking to enjoin the defendant from allegedly engaging in deceptive advertisement of abortion-inducing pills and abortion services. Motion for a preliminary and permanent injunction filed Dec. 22, 2025. Parties entered a settlement agreement in which Mayday agreed to not advertise the delivery of abortion drugs to South Dakota Mar. 5, 2026.

Tennessee

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Welty v. Dunaway*** (6th Cir. Nos. 25-5738 [lead], 25-5739) – Abortion parental involvement case regarding interstate abortion trafficking law. District court granted the preliminary injunction Sept. 20, 2024. District court granted defendants' motion to dismiss in part (regarding certain defendants) but denied in part (remaining claims) Sept. 20, 2024. State appealed the order on the motion to dismiss. District court granted summary judgment in part (free speech claims) for the plaintiff, but granted summary judgment in part for the state (vagueness claim) July 18, 2025. 6th Circuit dismissed the appeal of the motion to dismiss order (6th Cir. No. 24-5968) as moot July 24, 2025. State filed an appeal Aug. 17, 2025. Plaintiffs filed an appeal Aug. 18, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeals. 6th Circuit heard oral argument June 2, 2026.

Federal District Court

- ***Tennessee v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** (E.D. Ark. No. 2:24-cv-84) – Administrative law challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act final rule that contrives abortion protections. Complaint filed Apr. 25, 2024. District court ruled the states lack standing and dismissed the motion for a preliminary injunction as moot June 14, 2024. 8th Circuit reversed and remanded Feb. 20, 2025. Parties filed a joint status report in the district court July 28, 2025. No recent major action.
- ***Tennessee v. Kennedy*** (E.D. Tenn. No. 3:23-cv-384) – Pro-life challenge to HHS' termination of Title X grant funding because Tennessee won't commit to providing abortion referrals. Complaint filed Oct. 24, 2023. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 11, 2024. 6th Circuit affirmed through an amended opinion Mar. 10, 2025. 6th Circuit denied the petition for rehearing en banc May 9, 2025. Tennessee filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court Aug. 7, 2025. Supreme Court granted, vacated, and remanded the case with instructions to dismiss the case as moot Jan. 20, 2026. 6th Circuit dismissed the case as moot Feb. 24, 2026. Tennessee voluntarily dismissed the case in the district court on Apr. 22, 2026.

State Court

- ***Phillips v. Tennessee*** (Tenn. Ct. App. No. M2026-00578-COA-R3-CV) – Pro-abortion challenge to the abortion law’s medical exceptions. Tennessee Supreme Court designated a three-judge panel for the case Sept. 21, 2023. Amended complaint and motion for a temporary injunction filed Jan. 8, 2024. Motion to dismiss the amended complaint filed Jan. 31, 2024. Hearings held Apr. 4, 2024. Trial court granted in part the plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Oct. 17, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint Mar. 12, 2025. State filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings May 13, 2025. Trial court granted in part (facial vagueness claim) and denied in part (mootness, standing, sovereign immunity, and remaining claims) the state’s motion for judgment on the pleadings Oct. 16, 2025. Trial court denied the State’s motion for summary judgment Apr. 21, 2026. State appealed.

Texas

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Texas v. Bondi*** (5th Cir. No. 24-10386) – Challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act. District court granted in part (Quorum Clause challenge) but denied in part (Case Management Pilot Program challenge) Texas’ motion for a preliminary injunction Feb. 27, 2024. District court granted in part (for lack of standing to challenge Case Management Pilot Program) and denied in part (Pregnant Workers Fairness Act challenge) the motion to dismiss Feb. 27, 2024. Texas appealed. 5th Circuit reversed and vacated the permanent injunction Aug. 15, 2025. 5th Circuit granted State’s petition for rehearing en banc Jan. 14, 2026. 5th Circuit en banc oral argument held Apr. 8, 2026.
- ***United States of America ex rel. Doe v. Planned Parenthood Federation of America Inc.*** (5th Cir. No. 23-11184) – False Claims Act lawsuit alleging Planned Parenthood engaged in civil fraud. District court granted in part plaintiffs’ motions for summary judgment. District court stayed proceedings pending the 5th Circuit appeal Jan. 11, 2024. 5th Circuit reversed and remanded Feb. 26, 2025. 5th Circuit granted the Plaintiff-Appellee Doe’s petition for rehearing en banc June 26, 2025. 5th Circuit en banc heard oral argument Sept. 25, 2025. Awaiting a decision.

Federal District Court

- ***Brandon & Clark, Inc. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** (N.D. Tex. No. 5:24-cv-173) – Administrative law challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act final rule that contrives abortion protections. Complaint filed July 22, 2024. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed July 23, 2024. The district court granted plaintiff’s request to convert its motion for a preliminary injunction to a motion for summary judgment Jan. 17, 2025. Federal Government filed a motion for summary judgment Mar. 28, 2025. District court stayed proceedings pending further action in *Texas v. Bondi* (5th Cir. No. 24-10386).

- ***Davis v. Coopriider*** (S.D. Tex. No. 2:25-cv-220) – A woman’s wrongful death lawsuit against a former intimate partner after he drugged her drink with abortifacient drugs and she lost the child. Complaint filed Aug. 11, 2025. Defendant filed an answer and counterclaim alleging malicious prosecution and intentional infliction of emotion distress among other claims Sept. 3, 2025. District court granted the plaintiff’s motion to dismiss the counterclaim for failure to state a claim June 22, 2026. Currently in discovery.
- ***Gonzalez v. Ramirez*** (S.D. Tex. No. 7:24-cv-132) – A woman’s lawsuit seeking damages for wrongful arrest and prosecution for self-inducing an abortion. Amended complaint filed Apr. 11, 2024. Motions to dismiss filed May 1 & May 29, 2024. Answer filed Aug. 4, 2024. Currently in discovery. District court granted defendants’ motion for summary judgment Mar. 31, 2026. The plaintiff filed an opposed motion for leave to file a second amended complaint May 15, 2026.
- ***Rodriguez v. Coeytaux*** (S.D. Tex. No. 3:25-cv-225) – Wrongful death lawsuit against a California doctor that mailed chemical abortion drugs to the plaintiff’s pregnant girlfriend. Amended complaint filed Feb. 1, 2026. The defendant filed a motion to dismiss Apr. 16, 2026. The plaintiff filed an opposed motion for leave to file a second amended complaint June 8, 2026.
- ***State of Texas v. United States Department of Health and Human Services*** (N.D. Tex. No. 5:24-cv-204) – Pro-life challenge to the abortion protections within HHS’ final rule regarding HIPAA. Complaint filed Sept. 4, 2024. HHS filed a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion for summary judgment Jan. 17, 2025. City of Columbus, Ohio, city of Madison, Wis., and Doctors for America filed a motion for leave to intervene Jan. 17, 2025. District court entered the parties’ joint stipulation of dismissal Nov. 24, 2025.
- ***Yelp Inc. v. Paxton*** (N.D. Cal. No. 3:23-cv-4977) – Case seeking to prevent Texas from prosecuting Yelp under Texas state law for anti-pregnancy resource center speech. Complaint filed Sept. 27, 2023. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed Oct. 2, 2023. Motion hearing held Nov. 7, 2023. District court denied plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction. 9th Cir. affirmed May 15, 2025. 9th Circuit denied Yelp’s petition for rehearing en banc July 9, 2025. No recent action.

State Court

- ***North Texas Equal Access Fund v. Maxwell*** (Tex. No. 24-0289) – Abortion gestational limits case regarding the Texas Heartbeat Act (S.B. 8). Trial court granted the motion to dismiss. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed. Petition for review filed in the Texas Supreme Court May 15, 2024. Texas Supreme Court denied the petition for review May 29, 2026.
- ***Rojas v. Texas*** (Tex. Ct. App. No. 01-25-00268-CV) – Lawsuit seeking to enjoin a midwife from allegedly performing abortions. District court entered a temporary injunction Mar. 27, 2026. Defendant Rojas appealed. Oral argument held Feb. 19, 2026.

- ***Texas v. Aid Access*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. 26-cv-0349) – Lawsuit seeking to enjoin abortionists from mailing abortion drug into Texas. Petition and application for temporary and permanent injunctive relief filed Feb. 24, 2026. Defendant Dr. Coeytaux filed an answer May 26, 2026.
- ***Texas v. City of Austin*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. D-1-GN-24-007571) – Abortion funding restriction case to ensure the City of Austin does not illegally fund abortions. Original petition and application for temporary restraining order and injunctive relief filed Sept. 26, 2024. Answer filed Nov. 12, 2024. No recent action.
- ***Texas v. Lynch*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. 6DCCV0146) – Lawsuit seeking to enjoin abortionists from mailing abortion drugs into Texas. Petition and application for temporary and permanent injunctive relief filed Jan. 27, 2026.
- ***Texas v. Yelp Inc.*** (Tex. No. 25-1071) – Lawsuit alleging Yelp engaged in deceptive trade practices by making anti-pregnancy resource center “consumer notices” on the centers’ business pages. Original petition filed Sept. 28, 2023. Trial court dismissed the lawsuit Feb. 28, 2024. Texas Court of Appeals reversed and remanded Oct. 16, 2025. Yelp filed a petition for review in the Texas Supreme Court Feb. 3, 2026. Currently in briefing.
- ***Texas Right to Life v. Van Stean*** (Tex. No.26-0099) – Abortion gestational limits case regarding the Texas Heartbeat Act (S.B. 8). Trial court declared certain civil procedures unconstitutional and issued a declaratory judgment Dec. 9, 2021. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed the denial of the motion to dismiss May 26, 2023. Texas Supreme Court reversed and remanded because the Texas Court of Appeals erred by failing to address standing Nov. 22, 2024. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed on remand Jan. 16, 2026. Texas Right to Life filed a petition for review in the Texas Supreme Court June 1, 2026.
- ***Weldon v. The Lilith Fund for Reproductive Equity*** (Tex. No. 24-0250) – Abortion gestational limits case regarding the Texas Heartbeat Act (S.B. 8). Weldon’s motion to dismiss was denied by operation of law. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed. Petition for review filed in Texas Supreme Court May 22, 2024. Texas Supreme Court reversed and remanded on May 15, 2026.
- ***Zimmerman v. City of Austin*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. D-1-GN-19-005930) – Abortion funding case regarding city budget allocations of taxpayer money to abortion-assistance organizations. District court granted the defendants’ plea to the jurisdiction. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed. Texas Supreme Court vacated and remanded the case for further proceedings Dec. 30, 2022. Plaintiffs filed a second amended petition and application for temporary injunction Aug. 20, 2024. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss Oct. 21, 2024. No recent major action. [Amicus curiae brief filed on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Utah

State Court

- ***Planned Parenthood Association of Utah v. Utah*** (Utah No. 20220696) – Abortion conditional law case, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional

abortion “right.” Complaint filed June 25, 2022. Trial court granted a preliminary injunction July 11, 2022. The case is briefed before the Utah Supreme Court. Abortionists filed an amended complaint in the trial court (Utah Dist. Ct. No. 220903886), adding a challenge to H.B. 467, which institutes a hospital-only rule by prohibiting the licensing of new abortion clinics or relicensing of current abortion clinics. Trial court issued a preliminary injunction May 2, 2023. Utah Supreme Court held Planned Parenthood had standing and upheld the preliminary injunction Aug. 1, 2024. Planned Parenthood and State filed cross-motions for summary judgment Jan. 2026.

Vermont

No cases reported.

Virginia

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Perez v. Fairfax County Public Schools*** (4th Cir. No. 26-1736) – A teacher’s whistleblower lawsuit against a school district for its actions following the teacher’s disclosure that a high school staff member paid for students’ abortions without parental consent. Complaint filed Oct. 29, 2025. Removed to federal court Nov. 21, 2025. District court granted the defendants’ motion to dismiss May 8, 2026. Plaintiff appealed. Opening brief is due Aug. 3, 2026.

Federal District Court

- ***Whole Woman’s Health Alliance v. United States Food & Drug Administration*** (W.D. Va. No. 3:23-cv-19) – Chemical abortion case challenging 2023 REMS. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 21, 2023. Whole Woman’s Health filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 23, 2024. HHS filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Dec. 18, 2024. Motion hearing held May 19, 2025. The FDA filed a motion to stay proceedings pending administrative review of mifepristone REMS Mar. 23, 2026.

State Court

- ***Association of American Physicians and Surgeons v. Virginia State Board of Elections*** (Vir. Cir. Ct. No. ___) – Challenge to approved ballot language for the proposed “reproductive freedom” constitutional amendment. Complaint filed Apr. 30, 2026.
- ***Bansley v. Nardo*** (Vir. Cir. Ct. No. CL26000931-00) – Challenge to abortion ballot initiative for allegedly not following state constitutional and statutory procedural requirements. Complaint filed Mar. 2, 2026. Defendants filed a demurrer Apr. 30, 2026.

Washington

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Cedar Park Assembly of God of Kirkland, Washington v. Kreidler*** (9th Cir. Nos. 23-35560 [lead], 23-35585) – Conscience rights case challenging Wash. S.B. 6219, which requires Washington employers to provide abortion and abortifacient coverage in employee health plans. District court denied the plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment and granted the defendants’ motion for summary judgment July 25, 2023. 9th Circuit vacated and remanded with instructions to dismiss the action for lack of standing Mar. 6, 2025. Appellants filed a petition for rehearing en banc Apr. 3, 2025. 9th Circuit withdrew the Mar. 6, 2025 opinion, and denied the petition for rehearing en banc as moot on July 29, 2025. Oral argument held Jan. 8, 2026.
- ***McCarthy v. Amazon.com, Inc.*** (9th Cir. No. 23-35584) – Assisted suicide case regarding Amazon’s alleged sale of suicide kits to children. District court granted the motion to dismiss June 27, 2023. Appealed Sept. 6, 2023. 9th Circuit reversed and remanded Mar. 12, 2026.

West Virginia

No cases reported.

Wisconsin

No cases reported.

Wyoming

State Court

- ***Johnson v. Wyoming*** (Wyo. No. S-24-0326) – Abortion case challenging the Life is a Human Right Act, Wyoming’s abortion ban, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” Trial court issued a permanent injunction Nov. 18, 2024. State appealed. Wyoming Supreme Court found a state constitutional right to abortion within the provision that recognizes a competent adult may make her own health care decisions, and held the abortion law was unconstitutional Jan. 6, 2026. Wyoming Supreme Court denied the state’s petition for rehearing Feb. 18, 2026.
- ***Johnson v. Wyoming*** (Wyo. Dist. Ct. No. 2025-CV-115019) – Health and safety (licensing for surgical abortions) and informed consent (ultrasound before chemical abortion) case challenging Wyo. H.B. 42 & H.B. 64. Complaint filed Feb. 28, 2025.
- ***Johnson v. Wyoming*** (Wyo. Dist. Ct. No. 2026-CV-0116148) – Abortion gestational limits (heartbeat) case under the judicially-recognized “right” to abortion. Complaint filed Apr. 24, 2026.