



Americans United for Life

2025 Q3 Life Litigation Report

Alabama

No cases reported.

Alaska

State Court

- ***Alaska v. Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawaii, Alabama, Indiana, Kentucky*** (Alaska No. S-19277) – Chemical abortion (physician-only rule) case. The trial court entered final judgment for Planned Parenthood Sept. 25, 2024. The state appealed to the Alaska Supreme Court. The appeal is briefed, and the court heard oral argument on Oct. 29, 2025.

Arizona

State Court

- ***Isacson v. Arizona*** (Ariz. Super. Ct. No. CV2025-017995) – Prenatal nondiscrimination (sex, race, and disability), informed consent (reflection period), and chemical abortion (in-person dispensing) case alleging violations of the state constitutional right to abortion. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed May 22, 2025. The motion for a preliminary injunction is under advisement as of Sept. 23, 2025.

Arkansas

No cases reported.

California

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***California v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (9th Cir. No. 25-2575) – Conscience rights case regarding the Affordable Care Act's contraception mandate. District court lifted the stay because HHS withdrew the proposed rulemaking Jan. 8, 2025. District court granted Colorado, Michigan, and Nevada's motion to intervene Mar. 31, 2025. Parties filed supplemental briefing regarding *Pennsylvania v. Trump* (3d Cir. No. 25-2575) [listed under Pennsylvania in this report] in the district court.
- ***Culture of Life Family Services, Inc. v. Bonta*** (9th Cir. No. 25-3828) – Pro-life health clinic's case to protect access to abortion pill reversal treatments. Amended complaint filed Nov. 15, 2024. State filed a motion to dismiss or alternatively to

stay the plaintiff's amended complaint Dec. 10, 2024. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction June 13, 2025. District court denied in part (abstention, and free speech claim), granted in part (standing for damages, free exercise claim, right to receive information claim, substantive due process claim) the motion to dismiss June 13, 2025. Plaintiff appealed the orders on the motion for a preliminary injunction and motion to dismiss. The Ninth Court has heard oral argument over the preliminary injunction appeal Oct. 9, 2025.

- ***Lopez v. City of San Diego*** (9th Cir. No. 25-2162) – Sidewalk counselors' case challenging a bubble zone ordinance. Complaint filed Sept. 5, 2024. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed Nov. 13, 2024. City of San Diego filed a motion to dismiss Nov. 13, 2024. District court granted the city's motion to dismiss Mar. 21, 2025, and denied as moot the plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 21, 2025. Plaintiff appealed. The case is briefed.
- ***National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Bonta*** (9th Cir. No. 25-2287) – Pro-life case to protect access to abortion pill reversal treatments. District court denied the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 6, 2025. The appeal is briefed, and the 9th Circuit heard oral argument on Oct. 9, 2025.
- ***United Spinal Association v. State of California*** (9th Cir. No. 24-2751) – Assisted suicide case challenging California's End of Life Option Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint filed Apr. 25, 2023. District court granted the defendants' motions to dismiss and denied Compassion and Choices' motion to intervene Mar. 27, 2024. United Spinal Association appealed. Case is briefed, with oral argument held Mar. 26, 2025. Submission in the cases is vacated pending a final en banc decision and mandate in *Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans v. Mayes* (9th Cir. No. 16490) [not listed in this report since it concerns election law].
- ***United Spinal Association v. Compassion & Choices Action Network*** (9th Cir. No. 24-2755) – Appeal of the denial of Compassion and Choices' motion to intervene in the assisted suicide case challenging California's End of Life Option Act. Case is briefed, with oral argument held for Mar. 26, 2025. Submission in the cases is vacated pending a final en banc decision and mandate in *Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans v. Mayes* (9th Cir. No. 16490) [not listed in this report since it concerns election law].

Federal District Court

- ***Blythe v. City of San Diego*** (S.D. Cal. No. 3:24-cv-2211) – Sidewalk counselors' case challenging a bubble zone ordinance. Amended complaint filed Jan. 27, 2025. District court granted the city's motion to dismiss in part without leave to amend (facial overbreadth and vagueness claims) and with leave to amend (facial free speech claim), and denied in part (as-applied free speech claim) the motion June 2, 2025. Currently in discovery.

State Court

- ***Bakersfield Crisis Pregnancy Center v. California Department of Managed Health Care*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. BCV-22-102617) – Pro-life lawsuit challenging California’s Abortion Accessibility Act for funding abortion but not childbirth, alleging violations of state constitutional rights to privacy and equal protection. Trial held Sept. 24, 2024. Trial court ruled for the state Jan. 15, 2025.
- ***Harrison v. Dignity Health*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. CGC-25-629618) – Lawsuit alleging a Catholic hospital denied an emergency abortion to a pregnant woman with previable preterm premature rupture of the membranes (pPPROM). Complaint filed Sept. 26, 2025.
- ***Nusslock v. St. Joseph Health of Northern California, LLC*** (Cal. Sup. Ct. No. CV2500674) – Pro-abortion lawsuit seeking to require a Catholic hospital to perform emergency abortions. Complaint filed Apr. 1, 2025.
- ***Studnicki v. Sage Publications, Inc.*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. 2024CUPA031167) – Pro-life researchers’ lawsuit to compel arbitration over the retraction of the researchers’ scientific studies. Petition filed Oct. 3, 2024.
- ***The People of the State of California v. Heartbeat International, Inc.*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. 23CV044940) – Anti-life case alleging abortion pill reversal groups engage in false or misleading statements and fraudulent business practices. Complaint filed Sept. 21, 2023. Demurrer filed Feb. 6, 2024. Trial court denied the demurrer June 2024.
- ***The People of the State of California v. St. Joseph Health Northern California, LLC*** (Cal. Super. Ct. No. CV2401832) – Pro-abortion lawsuit seeking to require a Catholic hospital to perform emergency abortions. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Sept. 30, 2024. Trial court denied St. Joseph Health’s demurrer May 5, 2025.

Colorado

Federal District Court

- ***Bella Health and Wellness v. Weiser*** (D. Colo. No. 1:23-cv-939) – Chemical abortion pill reversal case challenging a Colorado law that prohibits abortion pill reversals. District court entered second amended final judgment in part in favor of Defendants, and in part in favor of Plaintiffs, permanently enjoining Section 3 of Colo. S.B. 23-190 on Aug. 21, 2025.
- ***McComas v. Polis*** (D. Colo. No. 1:25-cv-1618) – Assisted suicide case challenging Colorado’s residency requirements. Complaint filed May 22, 2025. Suggestion of death of Plaintiff McComas filed Sept. 11, 2025. Status report filed Oct. 14, 2025.
- ***United Spinal Association v. Colorado*** (D. Colo. No. 1:25-cv-2014) – Assisted suicide case challenging Colorado’s End of Life Options Act as unlawful for discriminating against persons with disabilities. Complaint filed June 30, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss Sept. 8, 2025. Compassion and Choices filed a motion to intervene Oct. 7, 2025.

Connecticut

No cases reported.

Delaware

Federal District Court

- ***National Institute of Family and Life Advocates v. Jennings*** (D. Del. No. 1:25-cv-173) – Free speech case concerning disclosure signage at pregnancy centers. Complaint filed Feb. 12, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 3, 2025. Answer filed Apr. 10, 2025.

District of Columbia

Federal District Court

- ***Advancing American Freedom v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (D.D.C. No. 1:24-cv-2803) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records regarding the approval of Mifeprex and meetings between senior FDA officials, White House counsel, and foreign actors regarding chemical abortion drugs. Complaint filed Oct. 2, 2024. Answer filed Dec. 23, 2024. Status report filed Sept. 10, 2025.
- ***Center for Reproductive Rights v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (D.D.C. No. 1:25-cv-3023) – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit to obtain records about the FDA’s decision to review mifepristone. Complaint filed September 5, 2025.
- ***Frederick Douglass Foundation, Inc. v. District of Columbia*** (D.D.C. No. 1:20-cv-3346) – Free speech case alleging pro-life viewpoint discrimination. District of Columbia filed a motion for summary judgment Aug. 8, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Sept. 29, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Florida

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Florida Preborn Rescue v. City of Clearwater*** (11th Cir. No. 23-13501) – Sidewalk counseling case challenging a buffer zone ordinance. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Oct. 20, 2023. Interlocutory appeal filed in the 11th Circuit, which has been briefed. Oral argument held Dec. 17, 2024.
- ***United States of America v. Freestone*** (11th Cir. No. 8:23-cr-25) – Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act criminal case alleging vandalization of pregnancy resource centers. District court accepted guilty plea of certain defendants June 17, 2024, and entered judgment against them on Sept. 17, 2024. Jury trial for remaining defendant finished Dec. 19, 2024, with the jury entering

a guilty verdict. Defendant Oropesa filed an appeal, which the parties have briefed.

State Court

- ***Doe v. Uthmeier*** (Fla. Ct. App. No. 5D2025-1363) – Parental involvement case concerning judicial bypass. Court of appeal affirmed the denial of the judicial bypass and certified questions to the state supreme court about whether the maturity and best-interests judicial waiver procedures comport with parental rights under the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution May 14, 2025.

Georgia

State Court

- ***SisterSong Women of Color Reproductive Justice Collective v. Georgia*** (Ga. Super. Ct. No. 2022CV367796) – Abortion gestational limits (heartbeat) case, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” Trial court issued a permanent injunction Nov. 15, 2022, finding the LIFE Act was void *ab initio*. Georgia Supreme Court reversed on the *ab initio* issue Oct. 24, 2023. Trial court crafted a state constitutional right to a pre-viability abortion and declared unconstitutional the heartbeat law Sept. 30, 2024. Georgia Supreme Court granted in part and denied in part the supersedeas Oct. 7, 2024. Georgia Supreme Court vacated the trial court’s order and remanded the case for the trial court to reconsider the issue of plaintiffs’ standing Feb. 20, 2025.

Guam

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Guam Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists v. Moylan*** (9th Cir. No. 23-15602) – Case addressing whether to lift a permanent injunction, which was based solely on *Roe v. Wade*, against a 1990 abortion ban under Civil Procedure Rule 60(b). On Apr. 28, 2025, the 9th Circuit dismissed the appeal as moot in light of *In re Guerrero*, 2023 Guam 11 (Guam Oct. 31, 2023), which had determined that the Guam Legislature had repealed the abortion law by implication. Appellant filed a petition for panel rehearing and petition for rehearing en banc May 12, 2025.

Hawaii

Federal District Court

- ***Purcell v. Becerra*** (D. Haw. No. 1:17-cv-493) – Chemical abortion case challenging mifepristone REMS. Second amended complaint filed Aug. 2, 2024. Answer filed Aug. 16, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 2, 2024. Defendants filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Dec. 3, 2024. District court granted plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment and denied defendants’ cross-motion for summary judgment Oct. 30, 2025.

Idaho

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***The Satanic Temple v. Little*** (9th Cir. No. 24-1243) – Abortion case challenging the conditional law and gestational limits (heartbeat), under the Takings Clause, Involuntary Servitude Clause, Equal Protection Clause, and Idaho Religious Freedom Act. District court granted the motion to dismiss Jan. 31, 2024. 9th Circuit affirmed but remanded so the district court could dismiss the case without prejudice Aug. 11, 2025.

Federal District Court

- ***Matsumoto v. Labrador*** (D. Idaho No. 1:23-cv-323) – Abortion parental involvement case regarding interstate abortion trafficking law. Complaint filed July 11, 2023. District court granted a preliminary injunction Nov. 8, 2023. District court granted in part (right to intrastate travel claim) and denied in part (remaining claims, including the interstate travel claim) the motion to dismiss Nov. 8, 2023. State appealed. Case is briefed, with oral argument held May 7, 2024. 9th Circuit affirmed in part (standing, sovereign immunity, First Amendment claim to the “recruiting” prong) and reversed in part (void for vagueness, expressive association, and free speech claim regarding the “harboring” and “transporting” prongs) Dec. 2, 2024. Answer filed Apr. 9, 2025.
- ***Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawaii, Alaska, Indiana, Kentucky v. Labrador*** (D. Idaho No. 1:23-cv-142) – Abortion case challenging the Idaho Attorney General’s guidance that Idaho law prohibits Idaho medical professionals from referring for abortion across state lines, alleging violations of Free Speech, Commerce, and Due Process Clauses. Parties entered a stipulation to resolve the case and asked the court to enter a consent decree that the state officials would not enforce the abortion law against Plaintiffs for their conduct of referring women across state lines to an abortion provider June 30, 2025. Planned Parenthood filed a notice of voluntary dismissal July 1, 2025. District court dismissed the case without prejudice July 17, 2025.
- ***St. Luke’s Health System, LTD v. Labrador*** (D. Idaho No. 1:25-cv-15) – Anti-life lawsuit to enforce the EMTALA abortion mandate. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Jan. 14, 2024. District court denied the state’s motion to dismiss and granted in part the plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction to the extent Idaho’s abortion ban conflicts with EMTALA-mandated care Mar. 20, 2025. Answer filed Apr. 3, 2025.

State Court

- ***Idahoans United for Women and Families v. Labrador*** (Idaho No. 52636-2025) – Ballot initiative case seeking the court’s review of the short and long ballot titles, as well as the fiscal impact statement of the pro-abortion ballot initiative. Petition for writs of certiorari and mandamus filed Jan. 30, 2025. The Idaho Supreme Court partially granted the request for the fiscal impact statement

and short ballot title to be revised but denied the remaining requests June 24, 2025.

Illinois

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Schroeder v. Treto*** (7th Cir. Nos. 25-1603 [lead], 25-1655, 25-1659, 25-1657) – Free speech case regarding anti-pregnancy center law. District court ruled one section is compelled speech by requiring a discussion about the risks and benefits of childbirth and abortion, but a separate section merely regulates professional conduct by directing clinicians to refer or transfer a patient upon request Apr. 4, 2025. Cross-appeals filed in the 7th Circuit. Currently in briefing.

Federal District Court

- ***Students for Life of America v. Gillespie*** (N.D. Ill. No. 1:24-cv-11928) – Pro-life challenge to an abortion insurance mandate, raising claims under the First and Fourteenth Amendments, Comstock Act's mail-order abortion rules, Coates-Snowe and Weldon Amendments, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. Second amended complaint filed Feb. 27, 2025. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss Mar. 31, 2025. Plaintiffs Innovator Capital Management LLC and Southard filed a notice of voluntary dismissal Oct. 13, 2025.

State Court

- ***Doe v. Reisinger-Kindle*** (Ill. Cir. Ct. No. 2025LA000054) – Medical negligence lawsuit against an abortionist after a post-abortive woman presented to the emergency room with a perforated uterus and retained fetal remains. Complaint filed Mar. 21, 2025.

Indiana

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***E.D. v. Noblesville School District*** (7th Cir. No. 24-1608) – Free speech case involving a high school's denial of a pro-life club's request to put up certain flyers on the school's walls. The 7th Circuit affirmed the grant of summary judgment for the school district Aug. 14, 2025. 7th Circuit denied the plaintiffs-appellants' petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc Sept. 29, 2025.
- ***Planned Parenthood of Indiana & Kentucky v. Commissioner, Indiana State Department of Health*** (7th Cir. No. 24-2219) – Abortion parental notice case. District court granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and denied defendants' motion for a summary judgment May 1, 2024. Appealed to the 7th Circuit. Case is briefed. 7th Circuit heard oral argument on Sept. 9, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life](#).
- ***The Satanic Temple v. Holcomb*** (7th Cir. No. 23-3247) – Abortion case challenging the abortion ban law under the Takings Clause, Involuntary

Servitude Clause, Equal Protection Clause, and Indiana Religious Freedom Restoration Act. District court granted the state's motion to dismiss Oct. 25, 2023. Plaintiff appealed to the 7th Circuit, which is currently in briefing. Briefing has concluded, and the court heard oral argument on Oct. 24, 2024. Awaiting a decision.

Federal District Court

- ***Planned Parenthood of Indiana v. Commission of the Indiana State Department of Health*** (S.D. Ind. No. 1:11-cv-630) – Abortion funding restriction case concerning decertification of abortion clinics as Medicaid providers. State filed a motion to vacate the injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 60(b)(5) in light of *Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic* (U.S. No. 23-1275) on July 3, 2025.
- ***Scifres v. Commissioner, Indiana Department of Health*** (S.D. Ind. No. 1:24-cv-2262) – Challenge to the reporting requirements under a HIPAA theory. Plaintiff filed a renewed motion for a preliminary injunction Oct. 1, 2025.

State Court

- ***Anonymous Plaintiffs 1-5 v. The Individual Members of the Medical Licensing Board of Indiana*** (Ind. Super. Ct. No. 49D01-2209-PL-031056) – Challenge to the abortion ban law alleging a state Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) claim. Trial court granted the motion for a preliminary injunction Dec. 2, 2022. Indiana Court of Appeals affirmed Apr. 4, 2024. State filed a petition to transfer the case to the state supreme court May 21, 2024. Indiana Supreme Court denied the petition to transfer Dec. 10, 2024. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment set for Dec. 2, 2025.
- ***Bernard v. Indiana State Health Commissioner*** (Ind. Ct. App. No. 25A-PL-00782) – Abortionists' lawsuit seeking a declaratory judgment that terminated pregnancy reports are exempt from general disclosure requirements in Indiana's Access to Public Records Act. Complaint filed Feb. 6, 2025. Trial court issued a preliminary injunction Mar. 24, 2025. State filed an interlocutory appeal Apr. 17, 2025. The appeal is briefed and awaiting an oral argument date.
- ***Members of the Medical Licensing Board of Indiana v. Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawai'i, Alaska, Indiana, Kentucky, Inc.*** (Ind. Ct. App. No. 24A-PL-02467) – Challenge to the abortion ban law, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion "right." On June 30, 2023, the Indiana Supreme Court held the state constitution provides a right to an abortion to protect the mother's life or prevent "a serious health risk," but the legislature otherwise has broad authority to regulate abortion. Petition for rehearing denied Aug. 21, 2023. Amended complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed in the trial court Nov. 9, 2023. Circuit court consolidated the trial with the hearing on the pending preliminary injunction motion Nov. 21, 2023. Bench trial held May 29–31, 2024. Trial court denied the motion for a permanent injunction Sept. 11, 2024. Parties have briefed the Indiana Court of Appeals. Indiana Court of

Appeals affirmed Aug. 11, 2025. Abortionists filed a petition to transfer the case to the Indiana Supreme Court Sept. 24, 2025.

Iowa

No cases reported.

Kansas

State Court

- ***Aria Medical Clinic v. Kansas Board of Nursing*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. 2025-cv-298) – Physician-only rule case to permit advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) to perform abortions. Petition filed Apr. 22, 2025. District court heard oral arguments on the motion to dismiss Sept. 23, 2025.
- ***Hodes & Nauser v. Kobach*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. 2023-cv-03140) – Abortion informed consent (abortion pill reversal disclosure, reflection period) case. Complaint filed June 6, 2023. Motion to dismiss filed Aug. 25, 2023. Trial court issued a temporary injunction Oct. 30, 2023. State appealed. On July 22, 2024, the trial court granted leave for the plaintiffs to add a challenge to H.B. 2749, which directs abortionists to ask each patient the reasons why she is seeking an abortion. District court denied the State’s motion to dismiss Nov. 20, 2024. Bench trial held Sept. 26–30 and Oct. 14–17, 2025.
- ***Trust Women Foundation Inc. v. Bennett*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. 2019-cv-60) – Chemical abortion (telemedicine) case. Kansas Court of Appeals reversed the denial of the temporary injunction and held that Trust Women had standing to sue the Board of Healing Arts May 20, 2022. Trial court granted a temporary injunction Nov. 23, 2022. District court granted the abortionists’ unopposed motion to stay proceedings Mar. 13, 2023.
- ***Vernon v. Kobach*** (Kan. Dist. Ct. No. DG-2025-cv-000252) – End-of-life case concerning restrictions on the effect of advance health care directives during pregnancy. Complaint filed May 29, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss Aug. 21, 2025.

Kentucky

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Sisters for Life, Inc. v. Louisville-Jefferson County, KY Metro Government*** (6th Cir. Nos. 25-5888, 25-5890) – Sidewalk counselors’ case challenging the buffer zone law. District court issued a preliminary injunction Jan. 3, 2023. Plaintiffs filed motions for summary judgment Mar. 16, 2023. District court denied defendants’ motion to dismiss May 30, 2024. District court granted plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment (free speech, free exercise, Ky. RFRA) but dismissed as forfeited count II (freedom of assembly) Sept. 13, 2024. District court granted in part and denied in part plaintiffs’ motions for attorney’s fees Sept. 30, 2025. Plaintiffs appealed, and the appeals are in briefing.

State Court

- ***Sobel v. Cameron*** (Ky. Ct. App. No. 2024-CA-0849) – Abortion conditional law case, alleging a free exercise claim. Circuit court denied plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment and granted defendants’ motion for summary judgment on the standing issue June 28, 2024. Kentucky Court of Appeals affirmed in part (two plaintiffs’ standing) and reversed in part (remaining plaintiff’s standing) July 11, 2025. Kentucky Court of Appeals denied the Kentucky Attorney General’s petition for rehearing Aug. 11, 2025.

Louisiana

Federal District Court

- ***State of Louisiana v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** (W.D. La. Nos. 2:24-cv-629, 2:24-cv-691) – Administrative law challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act final rule that contrives abortion protections. District court vacated the “abortion accommodation mandate” and remanded the Final Rule to the EEOC May 21, 2025.
- ***Louisiana v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (W.D. La. No. 6:25-cv-1491) – Pro-life challenge to the 2023 REMS that removed the in-person dispensing requirement for mifepristone. Complaint filed Oct. 6, 2025.

State Court

- ***Birthmark Doula Collective, LLC v. State of Louisiana*** (La. Dist. Ct. No. C-75521733) – Challenge to Louisiana law that classifies the abortion pill regimen as controlled substances. Complaint filed Oct. 31, 2024. Trial court denied the state’s peremptory exceptions May 15, 2025.

Maine

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Family Planning Association of Maine d/b/a Maine Family Planning v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (1st Cir. No. 25-1829) – Abortion funding restriction case involving a pro-abortion challenge to the One Big Beautiful Bill’s (Pub. L. No. 119-21) abortion clinic defunding. Complaint filed July 16, 2025. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 25, 2025. Abortionists appealed. Currently in briefing.

State Court

- ***Maine v. Andrade*** (Me. Super. Ct. No. PORSC-CV-25-276) – Lawsuit under the Maine Civil Rights Act requesting a 150-foot buffer zone to restrict a sidewalk counselor’s speech outside an abortion clinic. Complaint filed Aug. 21, 2025. Currently in litigation over the state’s motion for a preliminary injunction.

Maryland

Federal District Court

- ***GenBioPro, Inc. v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (D. Md. No. 8:23-cv-1057) – Drug manufacturer seeking to keep its generic chemical abortion drug on the market. Complaint filed Apr. 19, 2023. Case stayed pending *Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*. Status report filed Dec. 16, 2024. District court issued an order continuing the stay of the case on Aug. 19, 2025.

Massachusetts

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc v. Kennedy*** (1st Cir. Nos. 25-1698 [lead], 25-1755) – Abortion funding restriction case involving a pro-abortion challenge to the One Big Beautiful Bill's (Pub. L. No. 119-21) abortion clinic defunding. Complaint filed July 7, 2025. District court granted in part the plaintiffs' motion for an emergency preliminary injunction July 21, 2025. Federal government appealed. 1st Circuit entered a stay pending appeal Sept. 11, 2025. 1st Circuit denied Planned Parenthood's emergency motion for reconsideration of the stay order Sept. 18, 2025. Currently in briefing. Set for argument on Nov. 12, 2025

Federal District Court

- ***California v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (D. Mass. No. 1:25-cv-12118) – Abortion funding restriction case involving a pro-abortion challenge to the One Big Beautiful Bill's (Pub. L. No. 119-21) abortion clinic defunding. Complaint filed July 29, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction on Sept. 24, 2025, which the parties have briefed.
- ***Four Women Health Services, LLC v. Abundant Hope Pregnancy Resource Center Inc.*** (D. Mass. No. 1:24-cv-12283) – Lawsuit against a pregnancy resource center for allegedly accessing patient communications. Four Women Health Services filed an amended complaint Jan. 13, 2025. Defendant Choose Life Marketing filed an amended answer Jan. 22, 2025. Pro-life center filed a motion to dismiss Mar. 14, 2025. Defendant Abundant Hope Pregnancy Resource Center filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings Apr. 4, 2025. Hearing on the motions held July 29, 2025.
- ***Thopurathu v. Springfield College*** (D. Mass. No. 3:25-cv-30151) – Case involving a college's dismissal of a physician assistant master's degree student after the Catholic student submitted feedback that she was overwhelmed seeing a D&E abortion procedure and the school should give advance notice to future students that they will see an abortion. Complaint filed in state court July 11, 2025. Springfield College removed the case to federal court Aug. 25, 2025. Springfield College filed a motion to dismiss Sept. 19, 2025.

State Court

- ***Bethlehem House, Inc. v. Reproductive Equity Now Foundation, Inc.*** (Mass. Super. Ct. No. 2580CV00009) – Pregnancy center’s defamation lawsuit against a pro-abortion organization for allegedly discrediting the pregnancy center by baselessly accusing it of deceiving women. Complaint filed Jan. 29, 2025. Answer filed Mar. 21, 2025.

Michigan

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Right to Life of Michigan v. Whitmer*** (W.D. Mich. No. 1:23-cv-1189) – Pro-life challenge to the state constitutional right to “reproductive freedom.” First amended complaint filed Feb. 20, 2024. District court granted the state’s motion to dismiss on standing grounds Sept. 30, 2025. Right to Life appealed Oct. 28, 2025.

State Court

- ***Northland Family Planning Center v. Nessel*** (Mich. Ct. App. No. 375785) – Informed consent (reflection period and informational disclosures) and physician-only rule case alleging infringement upon the state constitutional amendment protecting abortion. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Feb. 6, 2024. Court of claims held certain provisions are unconstitutional and not severable May 13, 2025. Northland Family Planning Center appealed regarding remaining health and safety provisions May 27, 2025. The appeal currently is in briefing.
- ***The Young Women’s Christian Association of Kalamazoo, Michigan v. State of Michigan*** (Mich. Ct. App. No. 376582) – Abortion funding restriction case challenging the state “Hyde” Amendment. Complaint filed June 27, 2024. Court of claims granted the intervenors’ motion for summary disposition July 3, 2025. YMCA appealed July 2025. The appeal is currently in briefing.

Minnesota

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Women’s Life Care Center v. Ellison*** (8th Cir. No. 25-2905) – Pro-life challenge to Minnesota’s abortion laws under the 14th Amendment. Amended complaint filed Apr. 11, 2025. District court granted the defendants’ motion to dismiss and denied as moot the plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 27, 2025. Women’s Life Care Center appealed Sept. 22, 2025, and the appeal is currently in briefing.

Mississippi

State Court

- ***American Association of Pro-life Obstetricians and Gynecologists v. Mississippi State Board of Medical Licensure*** (Miss. No. 2024-SA-01281) – Pro-life lawsuit seeking a declaratory judgment that Mississippi’s abortion ban law is lawful under the state constitution. Trial court dismissed the case for lack of standing Oct. 15, 2024. An appeal has been briefed before the Mississippi Supreme Court.

Missouri

Federal District Court

- ***Missouri v. U.S. Food & Drug Administration*** (E.D. Mo. No. 4:25-cv-1580) – Pro-life challenge to the FDA’s approval and deregulation of chemical abortion drugs. Intervenor-states filed an amended complaint Jan. 16, 2025. FDA filed a motion to dismiss the intervenor-states’ amended complaint Jan. 18, 2025. Danco filed a motion to dismiss the intervenor-states’ amended complaint Jan. 28, 2025. District court granted GenBioPro’s motion to intervene as a defendant Apr. 28, 2025. Texas and Florida filed a motion to intervene as plaintiffs Aug. 22, 2025. Louisiana and a woman harmed by chemical abortion filed a motion to intervene as plaintiffs Sept. 19, 2025. District court transferred the case to the E.D. of Missouri Oct. 23, 2025. [Americans United for Life’s amici curiae brief on behalf of 145 Members of Congress.](#) [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

State Court

- ***Comprehensive Health of Planned Parenthood Great Plains v. Missouri*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 2416-CV31931) – Omnibus abortion case regarding gestational limits, health and safety, chemical abortion, reporting requirements, informed consent under the state constitutional right to an abortion. Trial court granted in part the motion for a preliminary injunction, enjoining most of the challenged pro-life provisions July 3, 2025. Trial court denied the state’s motion to dismiss July 3, 2025. Currently in discovery. Bench trial scheduled to begin Jan. 13, 2026.
- ***Fitz-James v. Hoskins*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 25AC-CC05427) – Pro-abortion challenge to block pro-life ballot initiative. Petition filed July 2, 2025. Trial court entered final judgment for the secretary of state Oct. 7, 2025. Plaintiff appealed Oct. 10, 2025.
- ***Right by You v. Missouri*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 2516-CV13783) – Abortion parental involvement case challenging the parental consent law under the theory it violates the state constitutional amendment. Petition for injunctive and declaratory relief filed Apr. 30, 2025. Trial court granted the state’s motion to dismiss Oct. 7, 2025.

- ***Missouri ex rel. Bailey v. Planned Parenthood Federation of America*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 25AC-CC05812) – State consumer protection lawsuit against Planned Parenthood for allegedly deceiving women that a chemical abortion is safer than Tylenol. Complaint filed July 23, 2025. Answer filed Sept. 2, 2025.
- ***Missouri v. Planned Parenthood Great Plains*** (Mo. Cir. Ct. No. 24BA-CV00990) – Abortion parental involvement case regarding interstate abortion trafficking. Complaint filed Feb. 29, 2024. Circuit court denied Planned Parenthood’s motion to dismiss June 18, 2024. Circuit court denied Planned Parenthood’s motion to dismiss the lawsuit due to the state constitutional amendment Apr. 28, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss Planned Parenthood’s counterclaim asserting that the Fourteenth Amendment’s guarantee of parental rights preempts Planned Parenthood’s interpretation of the state constitutional right to abortion July 2, 2025.

Montana

State Court

- ***All Families Healthcare v. State of Montana*** (Mont. No. DA 25-0040) – Abortion health and safety (clinic licensing) case. Motion to dismiss filed Sept. 22, 2023. Trial court granted the preliminary injunction Nov. 15, 2024. Appealed to the Montana Supreme Court, and submitted on the briefs to a five-justice panel of the court Aug. 20, 2025.
- ***Montana Life Defense Fund v. Montana*** (Mont. Dist. Ct. No. DV-56-2025-966) – Pro-life challenge to the new state constitutional right to abortion. Complaint filed Aug. 5, 2025. Montana Life Defense Fund filed an amended complaint Oct. 7, 2025. Intervenor-defendant Planned Parenthood Advocates of Montana filed a motion to dismiss Oct. 21, 2025. State filed an answer Oct. 21, 2025.
- ***Planned Parenthood of Montana v. Montana*** (Mont. Dist. Ct. No. DV-2023-231) – Abortion gestational limits (dismemberment) case. Amended complaint filed May 3, 2023. State filed a renewed motion to dismiss May 4, 2023. Temporary restraining order issued May 18, 2023. Trial court granted the plaintiffs’ motions for a preliminary injunction July 11, 2023. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment Sept. 13, 2024. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment Nov. 8, 2024. Trial court granted the plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment and denied the state’s motion for summary judgment Mar. 7, 2025. Currently in litigation over attorney’s fees.
- ***Planned Parenthood of Montana v. Montana*** (Mont. No. DA 25-0602) – Abortion funding restriction case challenging the state “Hyde Amendment.” Amended complaint filed May 18, 2023. Trial court issued a preliminary injunction May 22, 2023. Montana Supreme Court affirmed Oct. 9, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 16, 2024. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment Nov. 22, 2024. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment and denied the state’s motion for summary judgment Mar. 11, 2025. State filed an appeal Aug. 21, 2025.

Nebraska

No cases reported.

Nevada

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Planned Parenthood Monte Mar, Inc. v. Ford*** (9th Cir. No. 25-2432) – Parental involvement (notification) case. State filed a motion for relief from judgment to lift a permanent injunction that was based upon *Roe v. Wade* Dec. 1, 2023. District court granted the motion for relief from judgment Mar. 31, 2025. Planned Parenthood appealed to the 9th Circuit. 9th Circuit dismissed the appeal with prejudice pursuant to Planned Parenthood’s motion to voluntarily dismiss Aug. 22, 2025.

State Court

- ***Planned Parenthood Mar Monte, Inc. v. Nevada ex rel. Office of the Nevada Attorney General*** (Nev. Dist. Ct. No. A-25-923661-C) – Abortion parental involvement (notification) case. Complaint filed July 21, 2025. District court denied Planned Parenthood’s motion for a preliminary injunction Sept. 26, 2025.

New Hampshire

No cases reported.

New Jersey

U.S. Supreme Court

- ***First Choice Women’s Resource Centers, Inc. v. Platkin*** (No. 24-781) – First Amendment case concerning an expansive investigatory subpoena against a pregnancy center. District court held First Choice’s constitutional claims were not ripe Nov. 12, 2024. 3d Circuit affirmed Dec. 12, 2024. Supreme Court granted First Choice’s petition for a writ of certiorari June 16, 2025. The case is briefed, with oral argument set for December 2, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Govatos v. Murphy*** (3d Cir. No. 24-2947) – Assisted suicide case challenging New Jersey’s residency requirements. Complaint filed Aug. 29, 2023. Motion to dismiss filed Jan. 31, 2024. District court granted the motion to dismiss Sept. 18, 2024. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 17, 2024. Briefing has concluded, and the 3d Circuit heard oral argument on Sept. 17, 2025.

New Mexico

No cases reported.

New York

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***The Evergreen Association v. Hochul*** (2d Cir. No. 25-422) – Conscience rights case regarding the abortion-related “Boss Bill.” District court granted the motion to dismiss. District court granted the state’s motion for summary judgment and denied the plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment Jan. 31, 2025. Evergreen Association filed a notice of appeal Feb. 21, 2025. The appeal is briefed, and oral argument is calendared for the week of Jan. 26, 2026.
- ***Hulinsky v. County of Westchester*** (2d Cir. No. 25-2545) – Sidewalk counselors’ case challenging a buffer zone law on free speech, free assembly, due process, and free exercise of religion grounds. District court granted in part (free speech, due process, and free exercise claims regarding certain provisions) and denied in part (free speech and due process claims regarding remaining provisions) the defendant’s motion for summary judgment Mar. 14, 2025. District court denied the plaintiffs’ motion for reconsideration Apr. 28, 2025. District court entered final judgment for plaintiffs on the 2022 version of one provision that vaguely defined interference with a reproductive facility so that it included peaceful sidewalk counseling but dismissed with prejudice remaining claims Oct. 1, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal on Oct. 14, 2025 regarding the provision setting up a 25-foot bubble zone around a person to prevent “follow[ing] and harass[ment].”
- ***National Institute for Family and Life Advocates v. James*** (2d Cir. Nos. 24-2481 [lead], 24-2630) – Pro-life lawsuit against the N.Y. Attorney General for allegedly chilling the speech of pregnancy resource centers. District court granted the motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 22, 2024. State appealed Sept. 18, 2024. Plaintiffs appealed Oct. 2, 2024. Case is briefed. 2d Circuit heard oral argument on June 24, 2025.
- ***Smith v. Hochul*** (2d Cir. No. 23-686) – Prenatal rights case regarding the rights of viable unborn children under the Reproductive Health Act. District court denied plaintiffs’ post-*Dobbs* motions seeking to reopen the judgment, next friend appointments, and leave to file an amended complaint Mar. 22, 2023. Appealed to the 2d Circuit. 2d Circuit affirmed June 3, 2025. 2d Circuit denied the petition for rehearing June 30, 2025.

Federal District Court

- ***Crisis Pregnancy Services, Inc. d/b/a/ CompassCare v. Kamke*** (W.D.N.Y. No. 1:23-cv-1057) – Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act civil case seeking damages and injunctive relief for vandalization of a pregnancy resource center. Amended complaint filed Jan. 8, 2024. Motion to dismiss filed Mar. 13, 2024. District court granted in part (threatening force in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1) claim) and denied in part (CompassCare’s remaining claims) Page’s motion to dismiss, and granted in part (negligent infliction of emotional distress claim) and denied in part (medical malpractice and negligence claims)

CompassCare's motion to dismiss Kamke's counterclaims Sept. 30, 2025. CompassCare filed a motion for reconsideration of Kamke's claims for punitive damages, attorney fees for medical practice, and lack of informed consent, which the court did not address or decide in its previous order Oct. 7, 2025.

- ***People of the State of New York, by Letitia James v. Red Rose Rescue*** (S.D.N.Y. No. 7:23-cv-4832) – Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act civil case seeking damages and injunctive relief against Red Rose Rescue. Complaint filed June 8, 2023. District court granted the preliminary injunction in part Dec. 7, 2023. State filed a motion for summary judgment Aug. 1, 2024. District court granted the state's motion for summary judgment regarding liability Mar. 20, 2025. Status report filed Sept. 22, 2025.

State Court

- ***Heartbeat International Inc. v. James*** (N.Y. App. Div. No. 24-00921) – Pro-life lawsuit against the N.Y. Attorney General to protect access to abortion pill reversals. Complaint filed Apr. 30, 2024. Attorney General filed a motion to dismiss May 22, 2024. N.Y. Supreme Court consolidated cases and determined the venue would be in Rochester. Attorney General appealed the consolidation order, which the parties have briefed. The Appellate Division modified the order by designating Supreme Court, New York County as the venue July 25, 2025.
- ***Roman Catholic Diocese of Albany v. Harris*** (N.Y. No. APL-2025-00157) – Conscience rights case regarding abortion mandate, which also challenges the *Smith* test. New York Court of Appeals affirmed the dismissal of the lawsuit May 21, 2024. The Supreme Court granted, vacated, and remanded the case for further consideration in light of *Catholic Charities Bureau, Inc. v. Wisconsin Labor & Industry Review Comm'n*, 605 U.S. 238 (2025) on June 16, 2025. The Diocese's brief is due Dec. 5, 2025 to the New York Court of Appeals.
- ***Texas v. Bruck*** (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Index No. EF2025-2536) – Mandamus action seeking to have a county clerk file summary judgment against a New York abortion doctor that mailed chemical abortion drugs into Texas, which raises a Full Faith and Credit Clause challenge against New York's shield law. Petition for a writ of mandamus filed July 28, 2025. New York Attorney General filed a notice of intervention on Sept. 8, 2025. New York Supreme Court granted the respondent's motion to dismiss and denied the New York Attorney General's motion to intervene since the constitutionality of the law was not at issue Oct. 31, 2025.

North Carolina

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Bryant v. Moore*** (4th Cir. Nos. 24-1576, 24-1600, 24-1617) – Chemical abortion case alleging the preemption of pro-life state laws. On Apr. 30, 2024, district court issued an opinion and order granting the defendant-intervenors' motion for summary judgment in part (informed consent provisions) and denied in part

(safety restrictions that the FDA implemented then later removed). District court issued the judgment and permanent injunction on June 3, 2024. Cross-appealed to the 4th Circuit. Parties have briefed the case before the 4th Circuit.

North Dakota

State Court

- ***Access Independent Health Services, Inc., d/b/a Red River Women's Clinic v. Wrigley*** (N.D. No. 20240291) – Abortion conditional law case, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” District court denied the state’s motion for summary judgment Sept. 12, 2024, finding “a fundamental right to choose abortion before viability exists under the enumerated and unenumerated interests protected by the North Dakota Constitution.” Appealed to the state supreme court. Oral argument held Mar. 25, 2025.

Ohio

Federal District Court

- ***Knotts v. City of Cuyahoga Falls*** (N.D. Ohio No. 5:25-cv-1120) – First Amendment case alleging retaliatory arrest and prosecution of sidewalk counselors. Complaint filed May 30, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction June 3, 2025. Defendants filed a partial motion to dismiss the plaintiffs’ complaint July 7, 2025.
- ***Ohio v. Becerra*** (S.D. Ohio No. 1:21-cv-675) – Pro-life challenge that HHS’ 2021 final rule violates abortion funding restrictions. District court denied plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction. 6th Circuit affirmed in part (program integrity requirements) and reversed in part (abortion referral mandate) Nov. 30, 2023. District court granted Ohio’s unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction to implement the 6th Circuit’s decision June 11, 2024. States filed a motion for summary judgment and vacatur of rule Jan. 24, 2025. District court granted all parties’ consent motion to stay until all proceedings resolve in *Tennessee v. Becerra* (6th Cir. No. 24-5220) and *Oklahoma v. HHS* (U.S. No. 24-0437) Feb. 13, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

State Court

- ***Planned Parenthood Southwest Ohio Region v. Ohio Department of Health*** (Ohio Ct. App. No. C2500163) – Fetal remains case. Amended complaint filed. Granted preliminary injunction. Answer filed Feb. 28, 2022. Planned Parenthood amended its complaint Apr. 16, 2024. Trial court granted Planned Parenthood’s motion for judgment on the pleadings Feb. 13, 2025. The state appealed Mar. 18, 2025. The parties have briefed the appeal. The case is set for submission on the briefs to the court on Nov. 18, 2025.
- ***Planned Parenthood Southwest Ohio Region v. Ohio Department of Health*** (Ohio Ct. C.P. No. A2101148) – Chemical abortion (telemedicine) case.

Preliminary injunction issued. Amended complaint filed May 21, 2024. Trial court granted Planned Parenthood’s motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 29, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a third motion for a preliminary injunction Feb. 26, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint to add additional challenges to laws regulating advanced practice clinicians from providing chemical abortions on July 15, 2025.

- ***Preterm-Cleveland v. Yost*** (Ohio Ct. App. No. C2400668) – Abortion gestational limits (heartbeat) case. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for judgment on the pleadings Oct. 24, 2024. State appealed. The Ohio Court of Appeals heard oral argument on Sept. 16, 2025.
- ***Preterm-Cleveland v. Yost*** (Ohio Ct. C.P. No. 24 CV 002634) – Abortion informed consent (reflection period, state informational materials) and in-person appointment case. Complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction filed Mar. 29, 2025. State filed a motion to dismiss May 7, 2024. Trial court granted plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 23, 2024. Discovery has concluded. Dispositive motions are due Nov. 14, 2025. Plaintiffs filed a motion to modify the discovery schedule Oct. 3, 2025.
- ***Women’s Medical Group Professional Corp. v. Vanderhoff*** (Ohio Ct. C.P. No. A2200704) – Abortion health and safety case challenging licensing requirements in S.B. 157. Amended complaint filed Apr. 15, 2024. Answer filed May 29, 2024. Currently in discovery.

Oklahoma

Supreme Court

- ***State of Oklahoma v. United States Department of Health and Human Services*** (No. 24-437) – Pro-life challenge to HHS’ termination of Title X grant funding because Oklahoma won’t commit to providing abortion referrals. Complaint filed Nov. 17, 2023. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed Jan. 26, 2024. Answer filed Feb. 21, 2024. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 26, 2024. 10th Circuit affirmed July 15, 2024. On June 30, 2025, the Supreme Court granted, vacated, and remanded the case for further consideration in light of *Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic*, 606 U.S. ____ (2025).

State Court

- ***Oklahoma Call for Reproductive Justice v. O’Connor*** (Okla. Dist. Ct. No. CV-2021-2072) – Abortion “minibus” case regarding heartbeat, licensing, physician-only, and chemical abortion provisions, and abortion ban case. Temporary injunction granted in part and denied in part Oct. 7, 2021. Oklahoma Supreme Court vacated and remanded for further proceedings on the merits Nov. 14, 2023. Oklahoma Supreme Court denied the state’s petition for rehearing Feb. 5, 2024. State filed a motion to dismiss in the district court Mar. 8, 2024. Motion to dismiss hearing held Apr. 17, 2024. No recent major action.

Oregon

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Oregon Right to Life v. Stolfi*** (9th Cir. No. 24-6650) – Conscience rights case challenging abortion and contraception insurance mandates. District court granted the motion to dismiss Sept. 30, 2024. Oregon Right to Life appealed to the 9th Circuit. Oregon Right to Life filed a motion for injunction pending appeal in the district court (D. Or. No. 6:23-cv-1282) on Nov. 4, 2024, which the district court denied on Oct. 14, 2025. 9th Circuit reversed and remanded so the district court could apply *Catholic Charities Bureau, Inc. v. Wisconsin Labor & Industry Review Commission*, 605 U.S. 238 (2025) to the facts of this case Oct. 31, 2025.

Pennsylvania

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Pennsylvania v. Trump*** (3d Cir. Nos. 25-2575 [lead], 25-2662) – Challenge to the conscience exemptions for the Affordable Care Act’s contraceptive mandate. District court granted in part (arbitrary and capricious claim) summary judgment for the plaintiff-states, granted in part (excess of statutory authority claim, and notice-and-comment requirement claim) summary judgment for the defendant-federal government, and vacated the religious and moral exemption rules Aug. 13, 2025. Intervenor-defendant Little Sisters of the Poor appealed Aug. 13, 2025. Federal government appealed Aug. 26, 2025. Currently in briefing.

State Court

- ***Allegheny Reproductive Health Center v. Pennsylvania Department of Human Services*** (Pa. Commw. Ct. No. 26 MD 2019) – Abortion funding (Medicaid) case regarding a state “Hyde Amendment.” Trial court ruled for the state. Commonwealth court affirmed. Pennsylvania Supreme Court reversed and remanded for further proceedings Jan. 29, 2024, holding a sex-based distinction is presumptively unconstitutional under the state Equal Rights Amendment and is subject to strict scrutiny under the state Equal Protection Clause, while two justices held there is a state constitutional right to reproductive autonomy. Abortionists filed an application for summary relief July 19, 2024. En banc oral argument before the Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court was held Nov. 5, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Rhode Island

No cases reported.

South Carolina

Federal District Court

- ***Bingham v. Wilson*** (D.S.C. No. 2:25-cv-163) – Abortion doctors’ challenge to the abortion ban and the abortion ban’s exceptions for being vague under the Due Process Clause and violating the Free Exercise Clause. District court granted in part (free exercise claim) and denied in part (vagueness claim and standing) the state’s motion to dismiss May 7, 2025. Answer filed May 21, 2025. District court denied the state’s motion for judgment on the pleadings Sept. 16, 2025.
- ***Planned Parenthood South Atlantic v. Medina*** (D.S.C. No. 3:18-cv-2078) – Abortion funding case regarding South Carolina’s exclusion of abortion businesses as “qualified” Medicaid providers. Supreme Court held the Medicaid Act’s any-qualified-provider provision does not have an implied private right of action, thus Planned Parenthood may not bring this lawsuit in federal court June 26, 2025. District court dismissed the case pursuant to the parties’ settlement Oct. 22, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life](#).

South Dakota

No cases reported.

Tennessee

Supreme Court

- ***Tennessee v. Becerra*** (No. 25-162) – Pro-life challenge to HHS’ termination of Title X grant funding because Tennessee won’t commit to providing abortion referrals. Complaint filed Oct. 24, 2023. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Mar. 11, 2024. 6th Circuit affirmed through an amended opinion Mar. 10, 2025. 6th Circuit denied the petition for rehearing en banc May 9, 2025. Tennessee filed a petition for a writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court Aug. 7, 2025. The petition is in briefing.

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Welty v. Dunaway*** (6th Cir. Nos. 25-5738 [lead], 25-5739) – Abortion parental involvement case regarding interstate abortion trafficking law. District court granted the preliminary injunction Sept. 20, 2024. District court granted defendants’ motion to dismiss in part (regarding certain defendants) but denied in part (remaining claims) Sept. 20, 2024. State appealed the order on the motion to dismiss. District court granted summary judgment in part (free speech claims) for the plaintiff, but granted summary judgment in part for the state (vagueness claim) July 18, 2025. 6th Circuit dismissed the appeal of the motion to dismiss order (6th Cir. No. 24-5968) as moot July 24, 2025. State filed an appeal Aug. 17, 2025. Plaintiffs filed an appeal Aug. 18, 2025. The appeals are currently in briefing.

Federal District Court

- ***Tennessee v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** (E.D. Ark. No. 2:24-cv-84) – Administrative law challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act final rule that contrives abortion protections. Complaint filed Apr. 25, 2024. District court ruled the states lack standing and dismissed the motion for a preliminary injunction as moot June 14, 2024. 8th Circuit reversed and remanded Feb. 20, 2025. Parties filed a joint status report in the district court July 28, 2025.

State Court

- ***Phillips v. Tennessee*** (Tenn. Ch. Ct. No. 23-1196-I) – Pro-abortion challenge to the abortion law’s medical exceptions. Tennessee Supreme Court designated a three-judge panel for the case Sept. 21, 2023. Amended complaint and motion for a temporary injunction filed Jan. 8, 2024. Motion to dismiss the amended complaint filed Jan. 31, 2024. Hearings held Apr. 4, 2024. Trial court granted in part the plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction Oct. 17, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint Mar. 12, 2025. State filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings May 13, 2025. Trial court granted in part (facial vagueness claim) and denied in part (mootness, standing, sovereign immunity, and remaining claims) the state’s motion for judgment on the pleadings Oct. 16, 2025.

Texas

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***Texas v. Bondi*** (5th Cir. No. 24-10386) – Challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act. District court granted in part (Quorum Clause challenge) but denied in part (Case Management Pilot Program challenge) Texas’ motion for a preliminary injunction Feb. 27, 2024. District court granted in part (for lack of standing to challenge Case Management Pilot Program) and denied in part (Pregnant Workers Fairness Act challenge) the motion to dismiss Feb. 27, 2024. Texas appealed. 5th Circuit reversed and vacated the permanent injunction Aug. 15, 2025. State filed a petition for rehearing en banc Sept. 29, 2025.
- ***United States of America ex rel. Doe v. Planned Parenthood Federation of America Inc.*** (5th Cir. No. 23-11184) – False Claims Act lawsuit alleging Planned Parenthood engaged in civil fraud. District court granted in part plaintiffs’ motions for summary judgment. District court stayed proceedings pending the 5th Circuit appeal Jan. 11, 2024. 5th Circuit reversed and remanded Feb. 26, 2025. 5th Circuit granted the Plaintiff-Appellee Doe’s petition for rehearing en banc June 26, 2025. 5th Circuit en banc heard oral argument Sept. 25, 2025.
- ***Yelp Inc. v. Paxton*** (9th Cir. No. 24-581) – Case seeking to prevent Texas from prosecuting Yelp under Texas state law for anti-pregnancy resource center speech. Complaint filed Sept. 27, 2023. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed Oct. 2, 2023. Motion hearing held Nov. 7, 2023. District court denied plaintiff’s

motion for a preliminary injunction. 9th Cir. affirmed May 15, 2025. 9th Circuit denied Yelp's petition for rehearing en banc July 9, 2025.

Federal District Court

- ***Brandon & Clark, Inc. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** (N.D. Tex. No. 5:24-cv-173) – Administrative law challenge to the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act final rule that contrives abortion protections. Complaint filed July 22, 2024. Motion for a preliminary injunction filed July 23, 2024. The district court granted plaintiff's request to convert its motion for a preliminary injunction to a motion for summary judgment Jan. 17, 2025. Federal Government filed a motion for summary judgment Mar. 28, 2025. District court stayed proceedings pending further action in *Texas v. Bondi* (5th Cir. No. 24-10386).
- ***Davis v. Coopriider*** (S.D. Tex. No. 2:25-cv-220) – A woman's wrongful death lawsuit against a former intimate partner after he drugged her drink with abortifacient drugs and she lost the child. Complaint filed Aug. 11, 2025. Defendant filed an answer and counterclaim alleging malicious prosecution and intentional infliction of emotion distress among other claims Sept. 3, 2025. Plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss the counterclaim for failure to state a claim Oct. 8, 2025.
- ***Gonzalez v. Ramirez*** (S.D. Tex. No. 7:24-cv-132) – A woman's lawsuit seeking damages for wrongful arrest and prosecution for self-inducing an abortion. Amended complaint filed Apr. 11, 2024. Motions to dismiss filed May 1 & May 29, 2024. Answer filed Aug. 4, 2024. Currently in discovery.
- ***Purl v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services*** (N.D. Tex. No. 2:24-cv-228) – Challenge to abortion protections within HIPAA final rule. Complaint filed Oct. 21, 2024. District court granted the motion for a preliminary injunction Dec. 22, 2024. District court denied the motion to intervene by city of Columbus, Ohio, city of Madison, Wis., and Doctors for America Apr. 15, 2025. District court granted the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, denied the federal government's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, and vacated the HIPAA final rule June 18, 2025.
- ***Rodriguez v. Coeytaux*** (S.D. Tex. No. 3:25-cv-225) – Wrongful death lawsuit against a California doctor that mailed chemical abortion drugs to the plaintiff's pregnant girlfriend. Complaint filed July 20, 2025.
- ***State of Texas v. United States Department of Health and Human Services*** (N.D. Tex. No. 5:24-cv-204) – Pro-life challenge to the abortion protections within HHS' final rule regarding HIPAA. Complaint filed Sept. 4, 2024. HHS filed a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion for summary judgment Jan. 17, 2025. City of Columbus, Ohio, city of Madison, Wis., and Doctors for America filed a motion for leave to intervene Jan. 17, 2025. Status report filed May 12, 2025. Case is currently stayed pending any appeals in *Purl v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services* (N.D. Tex. No. 2:24-cv-228), but no appeal has been filed in that case. Status report due Nov. 20, 2025.

State Court

- ***North Texas Equal Access Fund v. Maxwell*** (Tex. No. 24-0289) – Abortion gestational limits case regarding the Texas Heartbeat Act (S.B. 8). Trial court granted the motion to dismiss. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed. Petition for review filed in the Texas Supreme Court May 15, 2024. Parties have briefed the appeal.
- ***San Antonio Family Association v. City of San Antonio*** (Tex. Ct. App. No. 04-24-00300-CV) – Pro-life challenge to San Antonio’s appropriations for abortion travel. Plea to the jurisdiction filed Jan. 30, 2024. Second amended petition filed Mar. 18, 2024. District court granted the plea to the jurisdiction Apr. 24, 2024. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed June 30, 2025.
- ***Texas v. City of Austin*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. D-1-GN-24-007571) – Abortion funding restriction case to ensure the City of Austin does not illegally fund abortions. Original petition and application for temporary restraining order and injunctive relief filed Sept. 26, 2024. Answer filed Nov. 12, 2024. No recent action.
- ***Texas v. City of San Antonio*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. 2025CI07833) – Pro-life challenge to San Antonio’s appropriations for abortion travel. Petition and application for temporary restraining order and injunctive relief filed Apr. 7, 2025. Trial court granted San Antonio’s plea to the jurisdiction May 16, 2025. The state appealed, but the Texas Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal as moot after the state a law restricting funding for abortion travel and San Antonio indicated that it would comply with the new law Oct. 9, 2025.
- ***Texas v. Yelp Inc.*** (Tex. Ct. App. No. 15-24-00040-CV) – Lawsuit alleging Yelp engaged in deceptive trade practices by making anti-pregnancy resource center “consumer notices” on the centers’ business pages. Original petition filed Sept. 28, 2023. Trial court dismissed the lawsuit Feb. 28, 2024. Texas Court of Appeals reversed and remanded Oct. 16, 2025.
- ***Texas Right to Life v. Van Stean*** (Tex. Ct. App. No. 03-21-00650-CV) – Abortion gestational limits case regarding the Texas Heartbeat Act (S.B. 8). Trial court declared certain civil procedures unconstitutional and issued a declaratory judgment Dec. 9, 2021. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed the denial of the motion to dismiss May 26, 2023. Texas Supreme Court reversed and remanded because the Texas Court of Appeals erred by failing to address standing Nov. 22, 2024. The case was submitted to the Texas Court of Appeals Jan. 7, 2025.
- ***Weldon v. The Lilith Fund for Reproductive Equity*** (Tex. No. 24-0250) – Abortion gestational limits case regarding the Texas Heartbeat Act (S.B. 8). Weldon’s motion to dismiss was denied by operation law. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed. Petition for review filed in Texas Supreme Court May 22, 2024. Parties have briefed the appeal.
- ***Zimmerman v. City of Austin*** (Tex. Dist. Ct. No. D-1-GN-19-005930) – Abortion funding case regarding city budget allocations of taxpayer money to abortion-assistance organizations. District court granted the defendants’ plea to the jurisdiction. Texas Court of Appeals affirmed. Texas Supreme Court vacated and

remanded the case for further proceedings Dec. 30, 2022. Plaintiffs filed a second amended petition and application for temporary injunction Aug. 20, 2024. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss Oct. 21, 2024. [Amicus curiae brief filed on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Utah

[State Court](#)

- ***Planned Parenthood Association of Utah v. Utah*** (Utah No. 20220696) – Abortion conditional law case, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” Complaint filed June 25, 2022. Trial court granted a preliminary injunction July 11, 2022. The case is briefed before the Utah Supreme Court. Abortionists filed an amended complaint in the trial court (Utah Dist. Ct. No. 220903886), adding a challenge to H.B. 467, which institutes a hospital-only rule by prohibiting the licensing of new abortion clinics or relicensing of current abortion clinics. Trial court issued a preliminary injunction May 2, 2023. Utah Supreme Court held Planned Parenthood had standing and upheld the preliminary injunction Aug. 1, 2024.

Vermont

No cases reported.

Virginia

[Federal District Court](#)

- ***Whole Woman’s Health Alliance v. United States Food & Drug Administration*** (W.D. Va. No. 3:23-cv-19) – Chemical abortion case challenging 2023 REMS. District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction Aug. 21, 2023. Whole Woman’s Health filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 23, 2024. HHS filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Dec. 18, 2024. Motion hearing held May 19, 2025.

[State Court](#)

- ***Perez v. Fairfax County Public Schools*** (Va. Cir. Ct. No. CL-2025-16376) – A teacher’s defamation lawsuit against a school district for its actions following the teacher’s whistleblowing that a high school staff member paid for students’ abortions without parental consent. Complaint filed Oct. 29, 2025.

Washington

[Federal Court of Appeals](#)

- ***Cedar Park Assembly of God of Kirkland, Washington v. Kreidler*** (9th Cir. Nos. 23-35560 [lead], 23-35585) – Conscience rights case challenging Wash. S.B. 6219, which requires Washington employers to provide abortion and abortifacient coverage in employee health plans. District court denied the

plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and granted the defendants' motion for summary judgment July 25, 2023. 9th Circuit vacated and remanded with instructions to dismiss the action for lack of standing Mar. 6, 2025. Appellants filed a petition for rehearing en banc Apr. 3, 2025. 9th Circuit withdrew the Mar. 6, 2025 opinion, and denied the petition for rehearing en banc as moot on July 29, 2025. Oral argument set for Jan. 8, 2026.

- ***McCarthy v. Amazon.com, Inc.*** (9th Cir. No. 23-35584) – Assisted suicide case regarding Amazon's alleged sale of suicide kits to children. District court granted the motion to dismiss June 27, 2023. Appealed Sept. 6, 2023. Case is briefed, and the 9th Circuit heard oral argument on Dec. 4, 2024. 9th Circuit withdrew submission of the case and deferred it until resolution of *Scott v. Amazon.com, Inc.* (Wash. Ct. App. No. 84933-6-I) [not listed in this report].

Federal District Court

- ***State of Washington v. Food & Drug Administration*** (E.D. Wash. No. 1:23-cv-3026) – Chemical abortion case challenging 2023 REMS. District court granted in part the motion for a preliminary injunction Apr. 7, 2023. District court denied the pro-life states' motion to intervene Apr. 21, 2023. Proposed intervenors-states appealed. 9th Circuit affirmed in part (intervention as of right) and dismissed in part (permissive intervention) July 24, 2024. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment Oct. 10, 2024. FDA filed a cross-motion for summary judgment Dec. 11, 2024. District court granted the federal government's motion for summary judgment, denied the states' motion for summary judgment, and vacated the preliminary injunction July 8, 2025.

West Virginia

Federal Court of Appeals

- ***GenBioPro, Inc. v. Raynes*** (4th Cir. No. 23-2194) – Chemical abortion case alleging preemption of state abortion ban. District court denied the motions regarding the standing issue but held in abeyance remaining issues May 2, 2023. District court granted in part (telemedicine restriction) and denied in part (remaining claims) the motions to dismiss Aug. 24, 2023. Amended complaint filed Oct. 19, 2023. District court dismissed plaintiff's first amended complaint Nov. 9, 2023. GenBioPro appealed. The 4th Circuit affirmed July 15, 2025. [Amicus curiae brief on behalf of Americans United for Life.](#)

Wisconsin

State Court

- ***Kaul v. Urmanski*** (Wis. No. 2023AP2362) – Abortion case challenging the pre-*Roe* law. Urmanski filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint and dismiss the intervenors' complaint Nov. 30, 2022. Oral argument held May 4, 2023. Trial court denied the motions to dismiss July 7, 2023. Motions for judgment on the pleadings filed Aug. 7, 2023. Trial court held the pre-*Roe* law does not apply to

consensual abortions. Trial court denied Urmanski's motion for reconsideration and reaffirmed the pre-*Roe* law does not apply to consensual abortions. Appealed to the Wisconsin Court of Appeals. Urmanski filed a petition to bypass Feb. 20, 2024. Kaul filed a supplemental petition in support of the request to bypass the Court of Appeals Feb. 27, 2024. Pro-life groups filed a motion to intervene Mar. 12, 2024. Wisconsin Supreme Court granted the petition to bypass July 2, 2024. Case is briefed, with oral argument held Nov. 11, 2024. Wisconsin Supreme Court held that the Wisconsin legislature impliedly repealed the pre-*Roe* law July 2, 2025.

- ***Planned Parenthood of Wisconsin v. Urmanski*** (Wis. No. 2024AP330) – Original action challenging the pre-*Roe* law, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” Petition filed Feb. 22, 2024. Wisconsin Supreme Court denied Wisconsin Right to Life’s motion to intervene as of right and motion of permissive intervention July 2, 2024. Roman Catholic Archbishop of Milwaukee, Wis. filed a petition to intervene July 15, 2024. State plaintiffs in *Kaul v. Urmanski* (Wis. No. 2023AP262) filed a motion to intervene as petitioners July 16, 2024. In light of the decision in *Kaul v. Urmanski*, the Wisconsin Supreme Court dismissed this original action July 2, 2025.

Wyoming

State Court

- ***Johnson v. State of Wyoming*** (Wyo. No. S-24-0326) – Abortion case challenging the Life is a Human Right Act, Wyoming’s abortion ban, which also seeks to devise a state constitutional abortion “right.” Trial court issued a permanent injunction Nov. 18, 2024. State appealed. Wyoming Supreme Court heard oral arguments on Apr. 16, 2025, and has taken the case under advisement.
- ***Johnson v. State of Wyoming*** (Wyo. Dist. Ct. No. 2025-CV-115019) – Health and safety (licensing for surgical abortions) and informed consent (ultrasound before chemical abortion) case challenging Wyo. H.B. 42 & H.B. 64. Complaint filed Feb. 28, 2025.